OPTIMUM FORM AND PLACEMENT OF URBAN BLOCKS TO MAXIMIZE THE USE OF SOLAR ENERGY – A CASE STUDY
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ABSTRACT

Growing tendency for Urbanization and rapid development of the cities has resulted in urban neighborhoods obstructing the access of each other to the natural sources e.g. solar energy, natural ventilation. Sunlight as the main part of input energy in urban energy balance equation and natural lighting is of vital importance. This paper attempts to achieve an optimum morphology for residential blocks in urban area with the highest exposure to the sunlight. To reach this goal a pilot area in Tabriz’s downtown was selected and regarding solar angle, local street regulations and the width of surrounding streets 3 different scenarios for the buildings blocks were defined. Using a three-dimensional microclimate model, ENVI-met, solar access of defined scenarios was calculated for the longest and the shortest day of the year. Results showed that Type C2 (highest, more open spaces) is a more efficient style for winter times as it receives more of the sun’s energy and also the amount of sun it gets during a day and type B2 (medium open space and height) is the better for summer as it gets less energy from the sun and it is exposed to sunlight less than other types in a hot summer day.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout history, man has often modified his climatic environment. Urbanization has brought about the most radical changes. Modern cities have developed with little or no regard for the climatic modifications created. The city has an infinite number of
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microclimates intimately linked to the composition of its surfaces and the relationships of its structures. This urban-atmospheric system is interdependent, and climate cannot be treated independently of the city. Microclimates created or destroyed by the shade effect of tall buildings are a simple example.

An understanding of the microclimates created by buildings should aid in the planning of new towns or the redevelopment of old ones. Design and layout can often turn microclimatic liabilities into assets. The collaboration of climatologists with architects and planners is needed to achieve a better result.

The information gap between urban designers, architects and climatologist has not been bridged by traditional approaches in climatology. Air temperature and humidity data on the standard screen height of weather shelters fail to measure the most significant phenomena of energy, mass, and momentum exchanges at the interface. A predictive methodology is needed to deal with the fundamental processes of the varied geometries and compositions of the city’s fabric. Only an energy budget approach will untangle the complex web of microclimates in urban areas.

Solar radiation as a major input of urban energy balance, should be considered early in the building design. The solar input should influence decisions as to building orientation, height, density, acceptable glass cover, and heating and air-conditioning requirements. Solar radiation is also a major factor in the climate comfort of the urban residents. The differential heating of the urban complex and its environs is partially responsible for the creation of the urban heat island and the associated convection cells, with considerable consequences for air pollution.

In attempting an analytical approach to models in urban climatology, it appears best to examine first input-output characteristics by simulating 3 figurative urban blocks which can be placed at any location on this planet, and can be subjected to sensitivity analyses of the influence of interface geometry and orientation on shortwave energy fluxes. How does the city’s mere physical structure, modify the solar energy budget?

Buildings account for approximately 40% of global energy consumption and play an important role in the energy market. Building sustainable design principles, in addition to being comfortable and meeting the needs of residents, a special effort must be taken to reduce the environmental impact of buildings. These principles are based on the following factors: energy efficiency, solar access, indoor air quality, water systems, construction materials [1]. Buildings’ energy demand is predicted to continue growing worldwide in the coming decades [2][3]. In addition, the building sector has a significant influence on natural resource utilization [4]. Hence, while buildings provide facilities for human needs and their countless benefits to the society cannot be ignored, buildings have also had destructive influences on the environment during last decades [5].

Since forty years ago, the energy demand from buildings (including residential and commercial buildings) has grown by 1.8% per year and it is predicted to grow from 2790 Mtoe (116.8 EJ) in 2010 to over 4400 Mtoe (184.2 EJ) by 2050, with most of this increase being from developing countries [6][7]. Three-quarters of total energy consumption in the building sector is residential, where there is great potential to improve energy efficiency [8]. Fig. 1 demonstrates the percentage of total consumption that is residential in different regions of the world, which fluctuates from an average of 20% in the developed countries to more than 35% in the developing countries [9] (Fig. 2). Residential energy demand is prominent both for its present size and potential growth [10].
In addition, buildings are also responsible for one-third of global energy-related global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [11]. Carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions are believed to account for approximately three-quarters of GHG emissions, and CO2 is seen as the main gas responsible for climate change [12]. According to the above, the residential sector can play a pivotal role in decreasing global total energy-related CO emissions. Ten countries, including the United States, China, India, Russia, Japan, Germany, South Korea, Canada, Iran, and the United Kingdom constitute the world’s major GHG emitters. Their emissions account for nearly two-thirds of total emissions (Fig. 3), which proves their considerable and direct effects on world energy expenditure and the global environment [13].

The morphology of a city can be conveniently generalized by certain dimensions of buildings and streets: building height $h$, building depth $w$, and widths between buildings across the street $d$. The ratio of the distance between adjacent buildings to street width $d$ is small enough to be considered insignificant in densely built-up areas [14]. This paper assumes that, by using sunlight and solar energy and finding a better positioning and
placement of urban blocks sustainable urban design processes can be partly achieved. Thus to reach sustainable city, this article aims to understand how much can solar energy effect a building in different scenarios and finding the best method to reach optimum height for an urban block in the city of Tabriz. By conducting different simulation with different enacted scenarios, block placements and block sizes, optimal shape and placement was achieved. Because the results in different cities are case specific and geographical and environmental condition and enforced regulation differ, it is better that examinations be done separately for each city. Although in Iran some small strategies have been implemented in transport and traffic section but sustainable urban design has been neglected [15]. With this aim in mind, we have chosen Tabriz as our case study area. The city is growing and is the fourth largest city, well known for its distinct urban structure and applied policies. Due to the growing concern for environmentally and climatologically friendly urban design and sustainable development, new regulations are much needed in this context.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In the present context of society dependence on energy, a more sustainable model of urban planning is required, one that is able to provide different solutions for urban development taking into account the solar energy potential. Jouri Kanters and Miljana Horvat did a study on “Solar energy as a design parameter in urban planning”. This study shows an exploration of geometrical forms of urban blocks and the potential of solar energy to the local production of energy. Simulations were performed in 2012 with the program Ecotect for the city of Lund in southern Sweden. It was found that the impact of the geometry form on the potential of solar energy was significant (up to twice as much) and some forms were found to be less sensitive for different orientations. When the urban blocks were surrounded by other geometry, which resembles the situation of a dense city, the contribution of solar energy decreased by 10-75% [16].

In a research about “Typical Values for Active Solar Energy in Urban Planning” the annual solar energy potential of typical Swedish city blocks was analyzed in order to develop guidelines for urban planners and architects. The results show that the design of the city blocks has a significant effect (up to 50%) on the total annual solar energy production. The study done by Jouri Kanter, Maria Walla and Marie-Claude Dubois shows that the contribution from active solar energy can be significant even in the urban environment, but shading by adjacent buildings may greatly limit the total amount of energy produced [17].

In 2016 effects of the variation of physical and geometrical properties of the urban fabric (i.e. cool roofs including green and white roofs and perviousness of paving materials) on the urban microclimate and outdoor thermal comfort were investigated using 3dimensional urban microclimate model, ENVI-met. Based on the predicted results, increasing the amount of vegetation and permeable pavements can cool the air temperature down by up to 3 K [18].

In a study done for the purpose of calibration and validation of the results of ENVI-met tool, Aida Maleki et al. illustrate the basic processes of calibrating and preparing a numerical model for the simulation of the urban microclimate [19].
3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Several scenarios are based on surrounding streets, local building regulations, and solar angles for Tabriz, so it was determined that an optimum scenario regarding better solar exposure had to be found. This project required to simulate the conditions on the ground so computer simulation by means of ENVI-met was used.

3.1 Location

Tabriz has a continental climate with dry hot summers. The city is located in north western Iran and in the mountainous region with a cold and dry climate which has cold winters and temperate summers. Over the course of a year, the temperature in this city typically varies from -5°C to 34°C and is rarely below -11°C or above 37°C. The warm season lasts from June 1 to September 19 with an average daily high temperature above 28°C. The hottest day of the year is August 6, with an average high of 34°C and low of 22°C.

The cold season lasts from November 25 to February 27 with an average daily high temperature below 9°C. The coldest day of the year is January 20, with an average low of -5°C and high of 3°C (Fig. 4).

The average fraction of time spent in various temperature bands: frigid (below -9°C), freezing (-9°C to 0°C), cold (0°C to 10°C), cool (10°C to 18°C), comfortable (18°C to 24°C), warm (24°C to 29°C), hot (29°C to 38°C) and sweltering (above 38°C) [20]

The length of the day varies significantly over the course of the year. The shortest day is December 21 with 9:31 hours of daylight; the longest day is June 21 with 14:48 hours of daylight (Fig. 5) [21,22].

Solar access is favored in cold cities like Tabriz. East-west oriented street canyons do not have solar access during winter months due to the low zenith angle of the sun. However, during summer, the street canyon and especially the northern façade is illuminated during the whole day. Accordingly, the frequency of heat stress in this E-W oriented street canyon is high. A N-S oriented street canyon is accessed by sunshine during the mid-day hours throughout the year [23] [24].
3.2 Scenarios

In this paper 3 types of residential blocks and effects of building positioning on total solar energy received in each block was investigated. Therefore, a pilot site located in 38° 3'27.97"N and 46°17'59.79"E in the 3rd district of Tabriz, Iran surrounded by 4 streets [Azadi St. (20m width) - Artesh St. (18m) – Pastor St. (12m) – Hafez St. (12m)] was selected (Fig. 6). It was used to be a military base that is moving to outside of the city and will be replaced with a residential neighborhood. The pilot site is 156816 m² (396x396) area from this site. The aim of the scenarios was to have all kinds of lights and shadow on buildings so a 3 by 3 block pattern was chosen. With this pattern, buildings have enclosure from 2 side to all 4 sides and there are buildings which wind directly hits them or moves through them. The distance between these blocks was calculated regarding solar angles (Fig. 7), local street regulations and the width of the 4 streets surrounding our figurative site in which this study takes place. The pilot size is a foursquare shape measuring 396x396 m². (Fig. 8).
Each one of these 9 units was proposed in 3 types of smaller blocks (Fig. 9, Fig. 10). Distance between and density of these types was calculated according to surrounding streets and local building regulations and solar angles (Table 2, Fig. 7). We also chose these patterns according to Tabriz City Comprehensive Plan and stretched them from east to west so that the building in each block can get the south/north sun light according to solar angles. It is also worthy to mention that Tabriz is in the northern hemisphere and sun light from south is predominant.
Every smaller block type was designed with its own different density. Density and building height was suggested by the city comparative and comprehensive plan according to the aspect ratio (building height / street width) and also analyzed using solar angles data gathered from Table 1 and Fig. 7 (Fig. 11).

3.3 Simulation tool

There are many alternatives for computer modeling and simulation tools proposed by scholars and researchers to evaluate the performance of urban design. Some computer softwares are very efficient but not good enough for any other purposes that do not meet the criteria and parameters used in the study. In this case, we categorize the computer modeling and simulation tools to assess the sustainability of urban morphology and analyze solar access. There are variety of tools that could be used by urban planners and designers for parametric assessment. In specific, urban form and solar access are among these parameters. Each of the computer programs is built with their parameters and characteristics. According to their functions, some of them are investigated as follows (Tabel 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer Software</th>
<th>Assessment Type</th>
<th>Development Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecotect Analysis</td>
<td>Thermal and energy analysis and solar access</td>
<td>Buildings and groups of buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IES VE-Pro</td>
<td>Building energy performance simulation</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Vasari</td>
<td>Wind tunnel analysis and energy consumption simulation.</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE software</td>
<td>Estate Environment Evaluation</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As this study focuses on the urban microclimate consideration on urban form performance, the feasible softwares that are suitable to meet the objective of the study are Project Vasari, STEVE, and ENVI-met. Those softwares are designed with urban microclimate parameters with respect to the urban design or urban form performance. The study justifies that ENVI-met software has more parameters to perform the urban microclimate assessment such as urban surface, humidity, wind flow, outdoor temperature, and other climate features. Furthermore, it also results the better resolution of shortwave radiation and solar access. By performing the structured comparison, we concluded that ENVI-met is suitable software in meeting this study’s objectives. In the same manner, due to the considerations highlighted earlier and the scope of the study, ENVI-met is chosen to be the suitable computer model to perform the urban form assessment by using the urban microclimatic parameters.

This software is a 3D-model of a microclimate that is programmed to simulate the relationship between surface, vegetation and air in an urban environment with a precision rate from 0.5 to 10 meters in 10 seconds [27]. This CFD program is used to simulate microclimates and model air quality. Its application is in urban climate, architecture, building design, environmental planning and urban design. This model includes the simulation of flow around and between buildings, the process of heat and moisture in the surface and walls, disturbance, vegetation parameters, bioclimatology, dispersion of the particles and pollutants. In addition to this structural configuration, some basic design characteristics of ENVI-met as a simulation program includes:

- Simulate the complete coupled climate system including fluid mechanics, thermodynamics, pollutant dispersion;
- Provided a high resolution model resolving single buildings;
- Adequate simulation of surface-vegetation-atmosphere processes such as photosynthesis rate;
- Use of state of the art computational techniques;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software/Tool</th>
<th>Function/Feature</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIVA and Rhino Grasshopper</td>
<td>Thermal, daylight, solar radiation, and glare simulation</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computational Fluids Dynamic Analysis</td>
<td>Wind simulation</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Engine</td>
<td>Modeling urban area and infrastructure</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical Information System (GIS)</td>
<td>Modeling, visualize and analyzing spatial data</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Evaluation Models (DEM)</td>
<td>Digital model to analyze the effects of urban surface on building energy consumption</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pareto Software</td>
<td>Analyzing the optimization of land use distribution</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnergyPlus Software</td>
<td>Simulating the energy program</td>
<td>Buildings and groups of buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVI-met</td>
<td>Three dimensional microclimate model</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbawind</td>
<td>Three dimensional wind and thermal simulation</td>
<td>Urban area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Adapted from [25][26])
Easy to use interface and input/output data handling [27].

**Input and output data:** Climatic data like Wind speed at 10m above ground, the geographical coordinates and based points, wind direction, base temperature, humidity at 2,500 meters and relative humidity at 2m above ground, topography, soil type, soil temperature and levels, plant type, height, leaves and roots density, as well as points, lines and levels of greenhouse gases can be used as input data in this software. In contrast, outputs are in the form of numerical and statistical computations and graphical visualizations. These outputs contain all of the climatic parameters in the requested time frame. Gas concentration, wind speed, temperature and humidity are among them.

Input data required to initiate ENVI-met simulations are:

- Wind speed and direction at 10 m above ground level;
- Roughness length (Zo);
- Initial temperature of atmosphere;
- Specific humidity at 2500 m;
- Relative humidity at 2 m.

**Limitations:** this software in its current state is designed for windows platform and does not work with other operating systems. One of its shortcomings is that it unable to use the full potential of threaded processors and also its 32bit architecture limits the amount of ram to 4GB that can be consumed by the program, as a result the maximum simulation size is limited to at most 1562500 cubical grids. Another problem with this application is that it will not use your Graphics Processing Unit for processing and simulation and it will only use 1 thread of you processing power.

Another limitation of the program is about the position of windows on the building facade. The basic version doesn’t support it and even the pro version has a lot of problems and doesn’t work correctly.

**Strength and capabilities:** Perhaps the most distinctive feature of this software with other software is that it seeks to reproduce the main process in the atmosphere that influenced the microclimate. Its benefits can be classified as such:

1. This application provides microclimate dynamic simulation on a daily cycle. The model is constant and non-hydrostatic and all processes in climate exchange, including wind flow, turbulence, radiation flux, temperature and humidity have been taken into consideration.
2. Accurate display of the city’s complex structures is possible. Buildings with shapes, heights and different non-geometric designs, plants as porous barriers to wind and radiation and evaporation and transpiration have been considered and different types of vegetation and soil in the application are available.
3. High spatial resolution (up to 0.5 meters horizontally) and high accuracy up to 10 seconds to give an accurate reading of climate change through urban geometry.
4. It requires a limited number of numerical import buts it has a wide range of numerical outputs.

One of the main fields of application of a microclimate simulation like ENVI-met is the analysis of the influence of buildings on the urban microclimate (e.g. [28]). The size and shape of buildings influences the wind flow and solar access within the streets and thereby greatly influence human thermal comfort on street level [29].

But the interaction between buildings and the atmosphere is not limited to the wind field and shading: the color and texture of the buildings surfaces define the radiative budget
within their vicinity. The structure and materials of the facades determine how much heat can be stored within the walls and how well heat is transferred between the inside of a building and the atmosphere on the outside. The combination of all physical properties define the surface temperature of the facade, which in turn influences the air temperature.

But not only urban planners looking at the microclimate would benefit from an accurate modeling of the energy exchange between facades and the atmosphere: it also helps architects and building engineers to better assess the amount of energy a building needs for cooling and heating and to monitor the feedback between the building and its vicinity.

5. SIMULATION

The simulation took place in a 99x99 grid with each grid having a size of 4x4 m². (Fig. 8) For accuracy and betterment of results It was decided that at least 14 extra grids from each side be added to each side of the last blocks. For the purpose of this article and according to the limitations of our simulation tool ENVI-met, a height grid size of 3m (1 floor) was chosen for simulations. The ENVI-met model of the simulated area (the ‘Base Case’) is shown in Table 4. The simulations were initiated using data obtained from a synoptic weather station at the Tabriz International Airport, approximately 8.65 km northwest of the measurement location. As it was not possible to simulate the conditions for a whole year with our simulation tool June 21 and December 21 were selected because they are summer and winter solstice and sun at noon is in its highest and lowest elevation (Table 2).

### Table 2: Solar angles on June 21 and December 21 in Tabriz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jun 21st – 2016 (Summer Solstice)</th>
<th>Dec 21st – 2016 (Winter Solstice)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>6:02:19 AM</td>
<td>7:37:25 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset</td>
<td>20:51:03 PM</td>
<td>17:08:41 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>13:26:41 PM</td>
<td>12:23:03 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>58.89°</td>
<td>9:31:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daylight</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.5°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: [30])

Solar radiation is calculated by the program based on latitude, longitude and time zone. By inputting the data shortwave solar radiation, solar access, sun hour, sky view factor is determined. The calculated global radiation can be adjusted by multiplying by a factor between 0.5 and 1.5 [31].

Also as input for ENVI-met its was decided that for all building and other variables every variable should be the same in all models so that we can get a better understanding of how sunlight works so all building were considered to be made of concrete as it is the usual material for buildings in Tabriz (Table 3).

### Table 3: Input data for the simulations using ENVI-met

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jun 21st</th>
<th>Dec 21st</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>33.03°C</td>
<td>4 PM – Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity</td>
<td>4 PM – Min</td>
<td>5 AM – Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>12.16%</td>
<td>57.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>4.72 m/s</td>
<td>1.83 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENVI-met was run on an Intel Core i7 Sandy Bridge Processor running at 2GHz with 8GB of Ram on which the simulations took about 36 to 72 hours depending on the height and size of the modeled area.

For the purpose of this study variables such as: shortwave radiation received, sky view factor, surface / volume, sun hour, gross floor area, built area, open space needed to be calculated and the result of all the tested variables from the modeling and simulation were rounded up in Table 4.

**Table 4: Main parameters of urban forms studied for solar radiation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module parameters</th>
<th>Layout (99 x 99 modules)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urban Form: Type A2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module Size: 396x396</td>
<td>Total Population: 5109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Length: 80m</td>
<td>Building Width: 16m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Height: 18.15m</td>
<td>Open Space: 122256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Width: 10.14m</td>
<td>Gross Floor Area: 198144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Area: 34560</td>
<td>Floor Space Index: 5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface/Volume: 0.3</td>
<td>Wall to Floor Ratio: 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units: 1548</td>
<td>Sky view factor: 0.680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Urban Form: Type B2** |
| Module Size: 396x396 | Total Population: 5346 |
| Block Length: 80m | Building Width: 16m |
| Block Height: 27m | Open Space: 133776 |
| Street Width: 10.14m | Gross Floor Area: 207360 |
| Built Area: 23040 | Floor Space Index: 9 |
| Surface/Volume: 0.18 | Wall to Floor Ratio: 0.45 |
| Units: 1620 | Sky view factor: 0.673 |

| **Urban Form: Type C2** |
Module Size: 396x396
Block Length: 80m
Block Height: 36m
Street Width: 10.14m
Built Area: 11520
Surface/Volume: 0.096
Units: 1080

Total Population: 3564
Building Width: 16m
Open Space: 145296
Gross Floor Area: 138240
Wall to Floor Ratio: 0.45
Sky view factor: 0.755

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The primary intention of this paper is to improve the energy efficiency of urban form. While this translates directly into an energetic advantage, there are various other benefits like better day lighting and passive solar gains and increase photovoltaic potential which help reduce space heating demands and create energy. In this case, 3 urban districts are planned with such design guidance the overall urban form would have substantial improvement in both demand and supply potential of urban energy consumption. Sky view factor was calculated using the 2 dimensional export and removing the building grids and then calculated the average. Results from this case study are both in 3D and 2D graphical format that show the value of each grids cell by cell. Fig. 12, Fig. 13, Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 are some examples of the simulations done by ENVI-met for the purpose of this article.
Each of the parameters was then analyzed in a comparative way for understanding of their maximum, average and minimum amount and results seen from each analysis was then described and gathered in Table 5.

![Figure 12. Simulated SWR for Type A2 (DEC21,10AM)](image1)

![Figure 13. Simulated Sun Hour for Type B2 (DEC21)](image2)

![Figure 14. Simulated SWR for Type C2 (JUN21,11AM)](image3)

![Figure 15. Simulated Sky View Factor for Type C2](image4)

![Figure 16. Sun hour (Min, Average and Max for December 21 and June 21)](image5)
First Parameter was Sun hour. It was calculated by summing up all the grids on all facades of the simulated model and then calculating the average amount for June 21 and December 21 (Fig. 16).

The results of simulation concerning sun hour showed height and positioning of the buildings does not affect the maximum sun hour they can receive while average and minimum differ. As observed the lowest minimum is for Type C2 on June 21st and Type B2 is 2nd lowest; while the lowest average is for Type B2 and 2nd lowest is for Type C2 on June 21st; although the highest average is for Type C2 and 2nd highest is for A2 on December 21st.

2nd step was calculating Min, Max and Average amount of shortwave radiation received by facades of every urban block type and then comparing the average amount of shortwave radiation received by facades in a whole day, Figs. 17-20.

Figure 17. Type A2 Shortwave Radiation (Min, Average and Max for December 21 and June 21)

Figure 18. Type B2 Shortwave Radiation (Min, Average and Max for December 21 and June 21)
Figure 19. Type C2 Shortwave Radiation (Min, Average and Max for December 21 and June 21)

Figure 20. Average Shortwave Radiation received by facades of every Scenario on December 21 and June 21

By analyzing the above results as the simulation’s diagram slope show the maximum SWR is lesser in the afternoons than in the mornings on June 21st, as observed highest minimum for SWR is for Type C2 and then for Type B2 on Jun 21st and December 21st, however highest average for SWR is for Type C2 and then Type B2 on December 21st but on June 21st highest average for SWR is for Type A2 and then Type C2. I can also be seen that the positioning of the building and its height does not seem to have much effect on the maximum amount of Shortwave radiation. As the diagrams also illustrate on December 21st, due to low solar angle it was observed that the amount of SWR received by the southern façade was higher than the amount received by the roof but, on June 21st the amount of SWR received by the roof was higher than other surfaces of the building due to higher solar angle.

Table 5: Data gathered by examination of the results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shortwave Radiation Received</th>
<th>Type A2</th>
<th>Type B2</th>
<th>Type C2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest average on JUN 21st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest average SWR on JUN 21st</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest average on DEC 21st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. CONCLUSION

3 type of urban blocks were chosen for comparison for their exposure to sunlight. Type A2 is and urban block with lowest height and higher density and floor area containing 27 blocks. Type B2 is higher than A2 and its floor area is less than A2 and has more open space. Type C2 is the highest and has more open space but density is lower than other types. The comparison between measurements and the results of the simulations performed with ENVI-met show the model output strongly depends on the quality of the data used for the forcing. In the presented case studies, the input profiles of air temperature, wind speed and direction and specific humidity are derived from the measurements of a weather station at the edge of the city, respectively 9km northwest of the model areas.

The simulations were conducted for each type and results showed that Type C2 (highest, more open spaces) is a more efficient style for winter times as it receives more of the sun’s energy and also the amount of sun it gets during a day. However, type B2 (medium height and open space) is the better option for summer as it gets less energy from the sun and it is exposed to sunlight less than other types in a hot summer day.

As the model results show Type B2 (medium height and open space) the better choice energy vise if density and gross floor area matter more than floor area and open space in a specific area in Tabriz or maybe if we had height regulations in a specific area e.g. around a historical site. But Type C2 (highest, more open spaces) is also efficient and comes in a close 2nd as it gives more space for vegetation and open spaces and also the highest sky view factor than any other alternatives which in return can give us more freedom in landscape design. So urban designers and planners can develop policies for either of the types depending on which direction the city wants to go.

The results and proposed best pattern can also be generalized and used in the cities or
projects in the similar latitude and with the similar wind conditions and direction. Also its worth mentioning that the effects of wind speed, temperature, vegetation, humidity, wind direction, solar reflection and thermal comfort although lightly considered has not been critical for the purpose of this article and its conclusion but can be tested in later studies to get a more accurate and decisive decision for choosing the best scenario all-around.
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