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Abstract: In this study the effect of nano meter size ZrO₂ particles on the microstructure, densification and hydration resistance of magnesite–dolomite refractories was investigated. 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 wt. % ZrO₂ particles that were added to magnesite–dolomite refractories containing 35 wt. % CaO. The Hydration resistance was measured by change in the weight of specimen after 72 h at 25°C and 95% relative humidity. The results showed with addition of nano meter size ZrO₂ particles, the lattice constant of CaO increased, and the bulk density and hydration resistance of the specimen increased while apparent porosity decreased. With the addition of small amount ZrO₂ the formation of CaZrO₄ phase facilitated the sintering and the densification process. The mechanism of the nano meter size ZrO₂ particles promoting densification and hydration resistance is decreasing the amount of free CaO in the specimens.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Magnesite-dolomite refractories have attracted widespread attention because of their high refactoriness, wide availability of raw materials, excellent resistance to alkalinity slag and ability to remove inclusions from molten steel [1-5]. However, the hydration susceptibility of these refractories is a major disadvantage limiting their practical applications [1-7]. The methods to improve the hydration resistance of magnesite-dolomite refractories include densification by the addition of sintering aids such as Fe₂O₃ [3, 7-9], Al₂O₃ [10], CuO [11], V₂O₅ [12] and TiO₂ [4]. The use of Fe₂O₃, Al₂O₃, CuO and V₂O₅ as liquid forming aids can improve the sintering of lime and subsequently the hydration-resistance of magnesite-dolomite refractories [3, 5-7, 10, 11, and 12]. However, the liquids formed may significantly reduce the refractoriness and slag resistance of refractory [3-5]. The other approach is by surface carbonation and phosphate coating [13]. It has been reported that addition of ZrO₂ can improve the hydration resistance of MgO-CaO refractories by enhancing solid state sintering without sacrificing refactoriness via formation of hydration resistant solid solutions, but it causes the rise in the production cost [5, 7, 14, and 15]. Therefore, it is important to decrease the amount of ZrO₂ for the purpose to improve the properties of the magnesite-dolomite refractories. It has been reported that the hydration resistance of the refractories was appreciably improved with well dispersion of nano-sized particles in the microstructure [5, 7]. The purpose of the current work is to study the effect of nano-size ZrO₂ particles addition on the properties of magnesite-dolomite refractories and show the possibility of improving the properties of the magnesite-dolomite refractories with less amounts nano meter size ZrO₂ particles.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Chemical analyses of sintered (at 1700°C) dolomite and magnesite (derived from Zefreh and Birjand mines, respectively) are shown in Table 1. Composition contains 35 wt. % CaO with 2, 4, 6, and 8 wt. % nano ZrO₂ (Table 2 and Fig. 1) mixed and subsequently uniaxial pressed, product of Inframat Advanced Materials Co) at 90MPa. Green briquettes were dried at 110 +5°C for 24 h and sintered at 1650°C temperature with 3 h soaking at peak temperature. Sintering was done in an electric furnace and the heating rate was maintained at 5°C/min up to 1100°C, followed by 3°C/min up to final sintering temperature. Bulk density (BD) and apparent
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Table 1. Chemical analysis of magnesite and dolomite used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Magnesite (wt.%)</th>
<th>Dolomite (wt. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size(μm)</td>
<td>&lt;80</td>
<td>&lt;75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. TEM image of ZrO₂ nanoparticles.

Porosity (AP) of the samples were determined by a liquid displacement method using Archimedes principle in a xylene medium. Hydration resistance was determined as follows: each specimen was powdered to obtain a particle size finer than sieve no.40 (425μm). After weighing they were placed at a Petri dish in autoclave with 95% humidity at 25°C. The samples were then weighed at different time up to 72 h. Microstructure was investigated by using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Cambridge, S360) with an EDS unit of system 5480 IXRF. The phases present in the sintered product was done by X-ray diffraction (XRD) study (Jeol-8030, Cu-Kα, and 25 Kv).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Densification

Chemical analysis of the dolomite and magnesite used as starting material shown in Table 1. As it indicated the dolomite and magnesite used in this study have impurities such as SiO₂, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃. The amount of Al₂O₃ is higher than other impurities. Fig. 2 shows the effect of nano size ZrO₂ addition on the bulk density and apparent porosity of the samples sintered at 1650°C for 3h.

It is observed that the bulk density increased gradually and the apparent porosity decreased with the addition of nano ZrO₂. The bulk density increased to 3.16 g/cm³ and apparent porosity decreased to 16.8 % when the amount of nano ZrO₂ increased to 8 wt. %. From these results, it is known that the addition of nano ZrO₂ promoted the densification of magnesite-dolomite refractories. The addition of nano ZrO₂ promoted densification due to the formation of CaZrO₄ particles that is a volume expanding phase and decreased the porosity in matrix.

Table 2 characterizes of the nano size ZrO₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Average particle size</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nano-ZrO₂</td>
<td>30±35(nm)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>&gt; 99.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.2. XRD Analysis

Fig. 3 and 4 shows the XRD patterns of samples with and without nano ZrO₂ additions. Periclase (MgO) and lime (CaO) were the main crystalline phases in all the samples and the presence of Al₂O₃, as an impurity in starting materials, caused the formation of Ca₃Al₂O₆ phase.

In the sample with 2 and 8 wt. % nano ZrO₂, MgO, CaO and CaZrO₃ were the main crystalline phases, and there was no sign of nano ZrO₂.

Fig. 3. XRD patterns of sample without ZrO₂.
Fig. 4. XRD patterns of samples contain A) 2 wt. % and B) 8 wt. % ZrO₂.

Table 3. Calculated lattice constant for sintered samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>(111)</th>
<th>(200)</th>
<th>(220)</th>
<th>(311)</th>
<th>(222)</th>
<th>a(Å⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence ZrO₂</td>
<td>2θ(°)</td>
<td>31.31</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>63.54</td>
<td>67.08</td>
<td>4.7978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d(Å⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>2.382</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2wt.% ZrO₂</td>
<td>2θ(°)</td>
<td>31.45</td>
<td>37.45</td>
<td>53.39</td>
<td>64.37</td>
<td>67.45</td>
<td>4.8023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d(Å⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.674</td>
<td>2.3842</td>
<td>1.674</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4wt.% ZrO₂</td>
<td>2θ(°)</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>37.39</td>
<td>54.06</td>
<td>64.62</td>
<td>67.47</td>
<td>4.8544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d(Å⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.745</td>
<td>2.377</td>
<td>1.701</td>
<td>1.444</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6wt.% ZrO₂</td>
<td>2θ(°)</td>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>37.89</td>
<td>54.09</td>
<td>64.66</td>
<td>67.54</td>
<td>4.8871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d(Å⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.761</td>
<td>2.387</td>
<td>1.712</td>
<td>1.478</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8wt.% ZrO₂</td>
<td>2θ(°)</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>37.92</td>
<td>54.12</td>
<td>64.87</td>
<td>67.66</td>
<td>4.8972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d(Å⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.774</td>
<td>2.701</td>
<td>1.725</td>
<td>1.502</td>
<td>1.398</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
phase. It indicates that all added nano ZrO$_2$ reacted with CaO to form CaZrO$_3$ phase. No change in lattice parameter of MgO phase was measured when nano ZrO$_2$ was added. It indicates that nano ZrO$_2$ did not formed solid solution with MgO phase. The position of the diffraction peaks relates to the CaO was shifted as a result of adding nano ZrO$_2$, suggesting that Zr$^{4+}$ entered in to the CaO lattice, changing the lattice parameter of CaO. However, there was no change in the positions of MgO. The CaO lattice constant of the samples was determined (Table 3) by comparing the peak positions (20) of the XRD patterns using the least square method.

The lattice constant of CaO in the samples without ZrO$_2$ was found to be 4.7978 Å [7, 14, 16], which was in accordance with the lattice constants of pure CaO [7]. Adding nano ZrO$_2$ increased the lattice constant of CaO. When the amount of added ZrO$_2$ was 8 wt. %, the lattice constant of CaO reached the highest point at $a_{\text{CaO}} = 4.8972$ Å. Generally, the solid solution of metal oxides forms mainly by the replacement of positive ions in the solvent. Radius of positive ions directly affects the binding energy of positive and negative ions in ionic crystals and hence influences the solid solubility. When the difference in the radius of positive ions is less than 15%, it is easy to form a solid solution; when the difference is larger than 30%, it is not possible to form a solid solution [7]. In this study, the difference in radius between Ca$^{2+}$ (0.099 nm) and Zr$^{4+}$ (0.098 nm) [2, 7] was about 1%, but the difference in radius between Mg$^{2+}$ (0.072 nm) [7] and Zr$^{4+}$ was nearly 36%. Therefore, nano ZrO$_2$ is expected to dissolve easily in CaO but not in MgO, which is confirmed by the results of XRD analysis.

3.3. Hydration Resistance

Fig. 5 shows the effect of nano ZrO$_2$ addition on hydration resistance of magnesite-dolomite refractories. It is observed that the mass gain of the magnesite-dolomite samples decreased appreciably with nano ZrO$_2$ addition. For the sample without addition, the mass gain after 72 h was 1.82 %, which was sharply decreased with the increase in the amount of ZrO$_2$. The mass gain was decreased to 1.42% when 8% nano ZrO$_2$ was added, indicating that the improvement of hydration resistance by nano ZrO$_2$ addition. It is known that the hydration resistance of CaO containing materials, both rich-CaO and rich-

![Fig. 5. Effect of nano ZrO$_2$ addition on improvement of hydration resistance of samples.](image-url)
MgO materials, is strongly dependent on the CaO content and the microstructure. When nano ZrO₂ was added, the increase in hydration resistance of the refractories is considered to be due to the following reasons: First, by converting part of free CaO to anhydrate CaZrO₃. With increasing the addition of nano ZrO₂, the content of free CaO in the refractories decreased further and thus the hydration resistance of the refractories was improved. Second, the promotion of densification by addition of nano ZrO₂ decreased the porosity of the refractories. Third, the hydration resistance of the refractories was improved by modification of the microstructure. It is well-known that the hydration reaction always begins from the sites with crystal defects. Thus, the grain boundaries, especially the triple points, are the poor hydration resistant sites. The addition of ZrO₂, lead to the formation of CaZrO₃ at grains boundaries and triple points in the microstructure. Thus, the hydration resistance of the magnesite-dolomite refractories was appreciably improved by addition of ZrO₂.

3. 4. SEM Analysis

Fig. 6 Shows the typical microstructure of samples without and containing various amounts of nano ZrO₂. The sintered sample without nano ZrO₂ addition has more pores and the grains are larger in size compared with the samples with nano ZrO₂ addition. Formation of CaZrO₃ phase (Table 4) with inhibits the grain growth in CaO and MgO. The CaO grain growth was hindered by the difficulty for Ca²⁺ to diffuse across the matrix during the sintering process. It can be seen

![SEM images of fractured surfaces of the samples with a) without, b) 2%, c) 6% and d) 8wt. % nano ZrO₂.](image-url)
that the number of pores decreased progressively as the nano ZrO₂ content was increased to 8 wt%. It can be also noted that the pores were present at the boundaries between MgO and CaO grains. The number of pores at grain boundaries will decrease during the sintering process as a result of grain boundary migration, leading to the formation of bigger pores on crystal surface, which may be eliminated as the sintering process progresses. A possible explanation for the effect of nano ZrO₂ on the densification and hydration resistance of MgO-CaO refractories involves a solution process in which Zr⁴⁺ cations are added to CaO (MgO) to form a solid solution according to Eq. 1:

\[ \text{ZrO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Zr}^{4+} \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{V}_{\text{Ca}} + 2\text{O}_2 \quad (1) \]

The main point in reaction (1) is the formation of calcium or magnesium vacancies in reaction (1). The formation of vacancies can have a positive effect on densification process.

These effects increase the powder density, and increase the hydration resistance of magnesio-dolomite refractories. The preference of Zr⁴⁺ cations to form a solid solution with CaO could be explained from the crystal structure. Although MgO and CaO crystallize in the same cubic rock-salt structure, the Mg-O bond has higher single bond strength (1.55*105 j/mol) than the Ca-O bond (1.33*105 j/mol) which is due to smaller radius of the Mg²⁺ cation (0.078nm) compared to that of Ca²⁺ cation(0.100nm)[2, 7, and14].

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

The addition of nano meter size ZrO₂ promoted densification due to the formation of CaZrO₃ particles which is a volume expanding phase caused by the reaction of the added ZrO₂ with CaO in the magnesite-dolomite refractories Zr⁴⁺ cations prefer to form a solid solution with CaO; improvement of the hydration resistance of the CaO-MgO via a reduction of the Ca²⁺ concentration in CaO.

The hydration resistance of magnesite-dolomite refractories was improved by converting part of free CaO to unhydrated CaZrO₃.

The promotion of densification by addition of ZrO₂ decreased the porosity of the refractories and thus its reaction specific area was decreased when were exposed to humidity environment.
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