1. INTRODUCTION

Refractory castables are combinations of refractory aggregates, matrix component, bonding agent, and admixtures [1]. Easy production of refractory castables along with cheap installation and similar performance to shaped products are the reasons for the growing market of monolithic refractories, at the expense of traditional bricks. Calcium aluminate cement is the most used hydraulic binder in refractory castables compositions. Nevertheless, CAC amounts higher than 2-3 wt% in Al₂O₃-CaO-SiO₂ systems may induce liquid formation at lower temperatures [2]. In addition, the disadvantageous property of high cement bonded conventional castable, it requires a high water demand on mixing, resulting low density and high porosity products. These drawbacks led to the development of low cement castable (LCC) and no cement castables (NCC) [3].

Micro silica, which is a by-product of ferro-silicon manufacture, when present in the bond system of refractory castable batches reduces the amount of mixing water required and facilitates flow and ease of placement. Magnesia–silica bond systems have been developed in magnesia-based, cement-free castables [4] forming forsterite in the bond on firing. The HMOR reached a maximum at 1200 °C but deteriorated at higher temperatures due to the effect of raw material impurities [5].

Recently different kinds of sols which form coagulation bonding have been tried as binder in low, ultralow and no cement bonded refractory castable compositions. Colloidal silica sols are stable water-based suspensions, containing up to 50 wt% of nanometric spherical amorphous silica particles (8-15 nm diameter). When combined with other solid particles, colloidal silica can be linked together in branched chains, in a process known as gelation [3], which can be induced by water removal. During the drying step, the hydroxyl groups (Si-OH) on the surface of the particles generate siloxane bonds (Si-O-Si), which results in a three-dimensional network (figure 1) [5]. Gelation can also be induced by pH changes and a salt or water miscible organic solvent addition, named gelling agents. With the proper selection of these agents or the pH variation, the colloid is gelled around the solid particles providing mechanical strength to the
system after drying. The micro silica-magnesia-
colloidal silica system presents a pH value
around 4–6, which is closer to the pH where the
colloidal silica gelling rate is maximum (around
pH 5). In this context, colloidal silica has been
pointed out as an alternative for a calcium-free
binder agent for refractory castables [6].

In the present research the influences of
colloidal silica addition on casting process as
well as properties are investigated.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1. Materials

Chemical analyses of the raw materials used in
this study are listed in Table 1 and the recipes of
the studied castables are provided in Table 2.

2.2. Castable Preparation and Testing

For each sample, a 3kg batch mixture using the
formulation in Table 2 was prepared, by dry
mixing for 2 minutes at slow speed, using a
Hobart mixer with 5 liter capacity mixing bowl.
Then wet mixing was prepared by addition of
water, colloidal silica after 30 second and further
3 minutes mixing at medium speed. Test samples
were prepared by casting the mixture into the
stainless steel moulds, having the dimensions of
160×40×40 mm, vibrated at 50HZ for 1 minute.
The castables were cured at 24°C and a relative
humidity of 95% for 24 hours. After 24 hours
curing time, the samples were allowed to be dried
at the temperature of 110°C, and then fired at
1000°C, 1200°C and 1400°C for 3 hours. The
cold strength (CCS, CMOR) was measured
according to the ASTM C109. Permanent linear
changes (PLC) of the samples fired at 1000°C,
1200°C and 1400°C for 3 hours were measured.
Bulk density and apparent porosity were all

Table 1. Chemical analysis of the raw materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Magnesia (wt %)</th>
<th>Micro silica (wt %)</th>
<th>Colloidal silica (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>97min</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.6max</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.5 max</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>1 max</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1 max</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I</td>
<td>0.2max</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Composition of castables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Castable Composition (wt %)</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>C₃</th>
<th>MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnesia</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro silica</td>
<td>Ferroalloy of Iran</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal silica</td>
<td>Beechems</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive</td>
<td>Castament</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
determined by standard methods. Phase analyses were performed using a JEOL JDX 8030 model X-ray diffractometer. For microstructural observation, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Apparent Porosity and Bulk Density

Apparent porosity and bulk density of the samples as a function of temperature are shown in figures 2, 3.

According to the evaporation of bonding water with increasing temperature till 1000 °C, the apparent porosity of all samples increased; moreover, the bulk density of them decreased. After increasing temperature till 1200 °C, in all the samples except MS₂C₃, the apparent porosity and bulk density were stable. Between 1200 °C to 1400 °C, as a result of sintering, the apparent porosity of all the samples decreased; in contrast, the bulk density increased. Figures 2 and 3 show that MS sample which contained 6 wt.% micro silica, had minimum apparent porosity and maximum bulk density in all temperatures. Apparent porosity and bulk density are directly related to the water content [2]. For the sintered magnesia–colloidal silica system the reaction was so fast that it was not possible to prepare a homogenous suspension. During mixing, silica particles immediately gelled around the magnesia ones, forming an impermeable external layer, which hindered the powder dispersion. Therefore we forced to add extra water in order to achieve suitable rheological condition. In this way, the increase in apparent porosity and the decrease in bulk density observed in which samples contain colloidal silica in compare with samples contain micro silica. Furthermore powder density of micro silica is more than the colloidal silica [3] which is another reason for increasing the bulk density of MS sample in compare with MC one.

3.2. Cold Mechanical Strength

In the next step variations of bending and cold crushing strength with firing temperature for different formulations are shown in figure 4 and 5.

Water content, particle size distribution and sintering condition of the castable samples are the most important factor which influences mechanical strength [7]. As figure 4 and 5 show, mechanical strength of MS sample is higher than other samples in 110 °C. Formation of MgO₃SiO₂·H·H₂O phase in this temperature can be the reason of this matter [5]. According to figures 4 and 5 increasing temperature till 1200 °C, the
absence of bonding water, caused decreasing mechanical strength in all samples. After that, by increasing temperature till 1400 °C formation of forsterite bonds during sintering process resulted increasing mechanical strength in all samples. Higher mechanical strength for MC sample was expected than MS but because of fast gelation of colloidal silica and addition of extra water, mechanical strength in MS became higher than MC. The other point is that in 1400°C, the mechanical strength of MS₃C₃ sample was higher than MS sample. In fact using micro and colloidal silica simultaneously caused improving mechanical strength of the castables and higher reactivity of colloidal silica caused to form higher amount of forsterite upon sintering.

3. 3. Permanent Linear Change (PLC)

Permanent linear changes of the samples in 1000,1200,1400 °C are shown in figure 6.

Permanent linear change (PLC) depends on sintering temperature, reaction between aggregates and bonding agents. Principally shrinkage is caused by sintering and also glass phase formation; on the other hand; expansion is caussed by phase transition in the sample’s matrix.

As figure 6 shows, MS₃C₃ sample had lower Permanent linear change (PLC) than the other ones. Also by increasing forermaition of forsterite during sintering process, more shrinkage resulted increasing the PLC.

3. 4. Phase Analyses and Microstructural Observations

XRD patterns showed that the presence of micro silica could inhibit the hydration of magnesia; furthermore it caused formation of magnesium silicate hydrate which bonded the aggregates together [8]. Forsterite is a crystalline magnesium silicate with chemical formula Mg₂SiO₄, which shows good refactoriness due to high melting point (1890 °C), relatively low thermal expansion (100×10⁻⁷K⁻¹ between 20 and 500 °C), good chemical stability and excellent insulation properties (K=1.4 J/m.sec.0K at 1300 °C) even at high temperatures [8].

Increasing the silica content in magnesite refractories can change the CaO/SiO₂ molar ratio and phase formation. But in this study, in order to forsterite formation, specific content of silica was added. According to a) low content of impurity and b) short accessibility of impurities to SiO₂ in grains, formation of low melt phases would be limited. Furthermore this refractory has been considered for application in less than 16000°C range. So the contents of low melt phases are negligible. On the other hand this small amount of low melting phases makes a little decrease in the service temperature of the refractories.

XRD pattern of MS₃C₃ sample after firing at 1000, 1200, 1400 °C are shown in figure 7. In addition to periclase as the main phase, forsterite and quartz phases formed at 1000, 1200 °C. Quartz phase eliminated at 1400 °C because of its reaction with magnesia in this temperature. Furthermore it can be seen, by increasing the temperature, forsterite phase formation was
developed and the intensity of forsterite peak was increased.

XRD pattern of MS$_3$C$_3$ and MC samples after firing at 1400°C are shown in figure 8. Result showed the bonding formation of forsterite started to form in the sample fired at 1200 °C which increased by further firing at 1400 °C. The intensity of forsterite’s peaks in MC sample is higher than MS$_3$C$_3$ in the sample. It may be needed to explain that many researchers introduce an optimum amount for colloidal silica addition as higher amount of colloidal silica deteriorate flow behavior and workability of the castable samples leading to strength decreased [5, 6, 8].

SEM micrographs of MS$_3$C$_3$ sample after firing at 1400°C with different magnifications are shown in figure 9. According to EDS analyses, high grey matrix indicated magnesia phase (point A), and light grey matrix indicated the forsterite phase (point B) which resulted by reaction between particles.

SEM micrographs of MC sample after firing at 1400°C with different magnifications are shown in figure 10. According to EDS analyses, high grey matrix indicated magnesia phase (point A), and light grey matrix indicated the forsterite phase (point B) which resulted by reaction between particles.
CONCLUSIONS

- The addition of colloidal silica individually caused to use extra water; therefore, mechanical strength decreased in all samples at 110°C, 1000°C, and 1200°C.
- The presence of micro silica and colloidal silica simultaneously in MS₃C₃ sample at 1400°C, caused better formation of forsterite phase and modifying mechanical strength in compare with MS sample. So there is an optimum amount of colloidal silica (3 %wt) providing better workability of the castable as well as forsterite.

Fig. 9. SEM micrographs of MS₃C₃ sample after firing at 1400°C, in magnification of a) ×500, b)×1000

Fig. 10. SEM micrographs of MC sample after firing at 1400°C, in magnification of a) ×500, b)×1000 c) ×6000
formation upon sintering.

- In all the samples, the formation forsterite phase started approximately at 1000°C and gradually increased by increasing the temperature.
- X-ray analyses results showed that the intensity of forsterite’s peaks in MC sample are higher than those in MS sample at 1400°C.
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