1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the energy crisis has created demand for high strength and good toughness in plain carbon and High Strength Low Alloy (HSLA) steel [1, 2].

The majority of the research and development efforts have aimed at developing steels with higher strength levels and improved toughness, formability and weldability.

The investigations have resulted in recognition that with control of some parameters, resulted in certain morphologies of ferrite, the mechanical properties of steel with these ferrite structures are improved [3, 4].

The majority of the research and development efforts have aimed at developing steels with higher strength levels and improved toughness, formability and weldability.

The investigations have resulted in recognition that with control of some parameters, resulted in certain morphologies of ferrite, the mechanical properties of steel with these ferrite structures are improved [3, 4].

It is generally agreed that the different transformation structures in continuous cooled system with decreasing temperature are as follow [6].

a) Grain boundary ferrite
b) Polygonal ferrite
c) Widmanstatten side plate ferrite
d) Acicular ferrite
e) Upper bainite
f) Lower bainite and martensite

Grain boundary ferrite nucleates at the austenite grain boundary and after that Widmanstetten side plate ferrite nucleates at the grain boundary ferrite, but grows along well-defined matrix planes, while intragranular ferrite nucleates entirely within the austenite grains. Observation of the mechanical property of materials with different ferrite microstructure has shown that Widmanstätten ferrite, upper bainite or grain boundary ferrite is detrimental to toughness, whereas intragranular or acicular ferrite improves it. A comparison between acicular ferrite and bainite is shown in Fig.1.

The term acicular means shaped and pointed like a needle, but it is generally recognised that
Acicular ferrite is a three-dimensional species with a morphology of thin, lenticular plates typically with size between 1 to 3 µm [8]. Plates of acicular ferrite cannot cross austenite grain boundaries because the coordinated movement of atoms, implied by the shape change, cannot in general be sustained across grains having different crystallographic orientations [8].

It is believed that propagation cleavage cracks are frequently deflected as they cross an acicular ferrite microstructure with different orientations, this increases mechanical properties, especially toughness.

Under continuous cooling transformation (CCT) conditions, acicular ferrite formation is likely to be the final product of a series of competitive nucleation and growth reactions at the various nucleation sites [9].

A high number of oxide inclusions in weld metals and/or particles such as TiN, TiC, Nb(C, N) in plain carbon steels have strong influence on the austenite to ferrite transformation both by restricting the growth of the austenite grains as well as by providing favourable nucleation sites for microstructural constituents such as acicular ferrite [1, 2, 10].

There is increasing evidence that the degree of misfit of the surface layers of inclusions/particles and bcc iron may be important in the nucleation of intragranular ferrite [11].

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1. Steel Composition

One Nb-microalloyed steel and one austenitic stainless steel, as a standard for comparison between continuous cooling of samples, were used in the present investigation.

The compositions of Nb-microalloyed and standard steels are shown in Table 1.

Different times and temperatures for samples were used to provide austenite grain size in the range of 50-200 µm immediately after reheating, specimens were quenched in brine and then tempered at 500 °C for 18 hours. Table 2 shows heat treatment temperatures and times for obtaining of different austenite grain size in alloys.

### Table 1. Composition of Nb-microalloyed and standard samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Alloys</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>%S</th>
<th>%P</th>
<th>%Si</th>
<th>%Mn</th>
<th>%Cr</th>
<th>%Ni</th>
<th>%Mo</th>
<th>%Ti</th>
<th>%Nb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nb-steel</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>16-18</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Heat treatment cycles for obtaining of different austenite grain size in alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat cycles</th>
<th>Peak Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Holding Time (min)</th>
<th>Average linear Intercept (µm)</th>
<th>A.S.T.M-G.S.N (G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nb-Steel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtaining different grain sizes.

All the samples were sectioned adjacent to the thermocouple, polished and etched with an etchant consist of:

100 ml saturated aqueous picric acid plus 10 ml teepol

The etchant was heated to approximately 60 °C and then the specimens were immersed in etchant for 4–6 minutes.

For examination of the austenite grain size on the transformation temperature, a new method was established. In this method, the temperature of Non-transformed stainless steel specimen was compared with that of transformable steel during continuous cooling.

Paired samples, one from transformable steel and other from stainless steel as a standard, were heated to a temperature ranging between 1100 - 1200 °C to obtain approximately 50, 100 or 200 µm austenite grain size for the specimens. After heating in a inert atmosphere (N₂ gas) and holding for 12 to 40 minutes in the furnace, the samples were taken out and cooled in air by two cooling rate. The temperature and time were recorded by a data logger for pair of standard and specimen. A schematic of TDM method is illustrated in Fig.2.

The temperature difference (dt) between transformable specimen (Nb-microalloyed steels) and a standard (stainless steel sample) was drawn as a function of temperature , while the specimen and standard steels were subjected to a controlled temperature programme. Exothermic heating of specimens, compare to the constant heating of standard, resulted in the changing of slopes from which the start and end of transformation can be detected.

The temperature-time were recorded during cooling from the austenitic temperature to the finishing temperature was corrected to be equivalent to compensate isothermal holding. This was done using the following equation [12].

\[ t_{equiv} = \sum t_i \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT_i}\right)/\exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \] (1)

Where Q is activation energy for diffusion of carbon in austenite (171.5kJ/mol) R is gas constant and t and T are time and temperature [13].

In order to understand the development of microstructure, specimens were heated using the same cycles in previous examination to obtain 50, 100 and 200 µm austenite grain size. On the base of transformation temperatures which had been found by Temperature Difference Method (TDM), the specimens were quenched by 20 °C intervals in the brine from the start to the end of transformation temperature by two cooling rate (5.5 °C/s and 12.5 °C/s). The samples were then sectioned and investigated by optical and electron microscopy.

Point counting instrument assembled to optical microscope was used to determine the volume fraction of each phase.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was used to provide more reliable evidence of the
features found with the aid of optical microscopy. Some particles in Nb-microalloyed steel were quantitatively analysed using Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) and counting time of 100.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Austenite Transformation Temperature Behaviour During Cooling

For evaluation of start, final and intermediate ferrite morphologies, Dt-t diagram draw (Fig. 3) and shows that ferrite start temperature transformation Ts (point a) for sample with 50 µm austenite grain size and 5.5 °C/s cooling rate is 660 °C. Subsequently, Widmanstatten (Ws) and acicular ferrite (As) (point b) nucleate. Moreover, the diagram shows that the end of transformation for sample with 50 µm austenite grain size occurs at 580 °C (point c).

Moreover, diagrams show that by increasing austenite grain size Ts, As and end of transformation (Tf) decreases to 620 °C for 100micron and 610 °C for 200 micron austenite grain size samples subsequently (Figs. 4 A,B). Furthermore a comparison between two samples with the same austenite grain size and different cooling rate (Fig. 3A and Fig. 4C) shows that by increasing of cooling rate from 5.5 to 12.5°C/s, the Ts, As and Tf decreases 20°C as well.

3.2. Kinetics of Grain Boundary Ferrite

Fig. 5 shows the relationship between time and
volume fraction of grain boundary ferrite versus three different austenite grain sizes by 5.5°C/s cooling rate. Kinetics of grain boundary reaction in this alloy shows that nucleation of intragranular ferrite inside the austenite grains prevents from nucleation of idiomorph ferrite and affects the kinetics behaviour of reaction. Moreover, diagram shows that by increasing of austenite grain to 200 µm a huge decrease in volume fraction of grain boundary ferrite can be seen. Reduction in transformation temperature should resulted in less time and diffusivity for the growth of grain boundary ferrite and hence reduction in primary ferrite volume fraction and consequently in an increase of the acicular ferrite content [13]. In this alloy a considerable decrease from 35 to 10% in volume fraction of grain boundary ferrite shows that, increasing of austenite grain size is more effective for nucleation of intragranular ferrite morphology. Moreover results show that reaction time for completion of grain boundary ferrite decreases with increase of austenite grain size.

### 3.3. Kinetics of Intragranular Ferrite

The results show in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 indicate that increasing the austenite grain size increases the rate of reaction and volume fraction of
intragranular ferrite in two different cooling rates.

All curves show exponential form and an incubation time for reaction can be seen for all different austenite grain size, but with increasing the austenite grain size this time decreases. Moreover, diagrams show that with increasing the austenite grain size, the time for completion of reaction decreases.

In the case of acicular ferrite, it seems that increase in austenite grain size causes decrease of transformation temperature and hence increases the number of particles which can be removed from solution. In the next step, this new particles (NbC, TiN) inside the austenite grains become operative by providing favourable nucleation sites for acicular or intragranular ferrite formation and results clearly show that by increasing the austenite grain size, the volume fraction of acicular ferrite increases.

Thewlis et al. [9] in their work reported that acicular ferrite is not a single transformation product, but forms as a result of succession of nucleation and growth with shear reaction. The rate of reaction in the first step is very slow as compared to that in final step, which is very fast, and microstructural observations show close agreement with this view.

A comparison between two different cooling rates show that increasing of cooling rate effects
on the incubation time and by decreasing of cooling rate the incubation time decreases in high austenite grain size samples.

The SEM results indicate that intragranular ferrite inside the alloy exhibits different forms. The grain boundary ferrite has plate shape, while the intragranular ferrite becomes more degenerated plate-like, lens-like or rosette shaped (Fig.8,a). Moreover, the SEM results indicate that nucleation of intragranular ferrite progresses with nucleation and growth over almost entire range of transformation inside the austenite grains by different rate of nucleation (Fig.8,b).

4. CONCLUSIONS

Temperature Difference Method (TDM) is a good technique which clearly can detect the start and end of ferrite transformation and sometimes other ferrite morphologies such as acicular ferrite and pearlite reaction.

It is very desirable to produce large austenite grain size in the Nb - microalloyed steels. This promotes high volume fraction of desirable acicular ferrite, provided other ferrite morphologies do not form.

A shift from grain boundary ferrite to acicular microstructure occurs parallel to the degree of supercooling in sample with 50, 100 and 200µm austenite grain size in this alloy.

Nucleation of fine intragranular ferrite (acicular ferrite) is aided by inclusions when factors such as austenite grain size, cooling rate and sympathetic nucleation combine to produce conditions within the prior austenite grains.

Increasing of cooling rate increases incubation time, especially for large austenite grain size, and decreases time for completion of reaction and increases nucleation of acicular ferrite.
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