Investigation of Tribological Behavior and the Mechanical Properties of TiB$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$ Reinforced AA6061 Matrix Sintered Hybrid Composites
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Abstract: Hybrid composites consisting of AA6061 matrix reinforced with TiB$_2$ (2, 4, 6, and 8 wt. %), Al$_2$O$_3$ (2 wt.%) particles were produced by the sintering process. In comparison to the base material AA6061, the composite produced had improved mechanical properties. The mechanical properties in the sintered composite samples such as tensile strength and hardness, are measured and compared to the wear-tested specimen. Optical micrographs reveal that composites were riddled with defects like blowholes, pinholes, and improper bonding between the particulates before sintering. However, the post-sintered optical micrograph showed that the defects were greatly suppressed. Micrographic images revealed the changes in surface characteristics before and after wear. Until a sliding distance of 260 m, the wear rate of the hybrid composites was kept lower than that of the base material. The coefficient of all the composite materials produced in this study was less than that of the base material. The results reveal that the hardness of hybrid composites having 4 and 6 wt. % of TiB$_2$ particulates increased by 5.98 and 1.35% respectively. Because of the frictional heating during the wear test, the tensile properties lowered by up to 49.6%. It is concluded that the hybrid composites having 4 and 6 wt. % of TiB$_2$ particulates exhibited less wear rate for extended sliding distance, good hardness, moderate tensile strength, and decent elongation percentage compared to the base material counterparts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Metal Matrix Composites (MMC) comprising of Aluminium alloy AA6061 matrix is preferred for making parts that exhibit characteristics like lightweight, dimensional stability, ductility, corrosion resistance, and high strength [1]. Typical applications of aluminum alloy include automobile parts like bonnet, doors, roofs, and wheels, locomotive body panels, aircraft components, building materials, and sports goods [2]. Mixing the aluminum alloys with reinforcement while making MMC changes the unique characteristics of the alloy. Depending on the composition of the reinforcement added, the alloy can gain or sacrifices a few of its superior properties such as ductility. Adding ceramics as the reinforcement materials enable the aluminum alloy to gain superior tensile strength, hardness, wear resistance, corrosion resistance, and impact strength [3–7]. Adding two or more reinforcements to the matrix is categorized as Hybrid Metal Matrix Composites (HMMC) [8–12].

Casting, powder metallurgy, chemical vapor deposition, and in situ processes are all used to make MMC. The powder metallurgy route is preferred for making MMC and HMMC that has a simple geometry, small size, and dimensional accuracy [13–16]. Sintering process and associated heat transfer benefits in providing superior load-bearing capacity and tribological characteristics extending its applications as automobile brake pads and medical implants [17–20]. Ceramics like Titanium Diboride (TiB$_2$) and alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) have been used as reinforcement by researchers to determine their influence on the characteristics of the matrix element. It was found that TiB$_2$ is a stable element in the aluminum alloys even after subjecting the base material to elevated temperature. However, the alloy tends to bond with Ti to become Al$_3$Ti [21]. Mixing Al$_2$O$_3$ with Titanium (Ti) in the composition of 1.5 and 3 wt.% revealed increased precipitates formed with elevated temperature during the sintering process [22]. Mixing Al$_2$O$_3$ with aluminum alloy developed a stable microstructure, but resulted in inducing brittle properties to the produced MMC [23].

In this study, four different HMMC consisting of varying weight compositions of TiB$_2$ particulates (2, 4, 6, and 8 wt.%) along with the fixed
composition of Al₂O₃ particulates (2 wt.%) were produced by powder metallurgy route. To examine the effect of the reinforcement materials on the matrix element, the mechanical properties and tribological behavior of the HMMCs were compared to the base material AA6061. Microscopic examinations were used to compare the transition in surface properties and also to analyze the defects of the green compact, sintered materials, and the specimen subjected to wear test. The study is aimed at determining the influence of tribological parameters on the mechanical properties of the above-mentioned hybrid laminates.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1. Producing the base material and the hybrid composites

The powder metallurgy route is more suitable for adding extremely fine particulates of a nanometric size capable of reducing defects like porosity and improving the mechanical and metallurgical properties of the composites produced [24]. In this study, aluminum alloy AA6061 was chosen as the matrix element of the hybrid composites. An exclusive material without any reinforcement powders was also produced as the base material to act as the reference material. The alloy was produced by mixing 99.7% pure powders (67 µm) of aluminum, chromium, copper, magnesium, ferrous, silicon, and manganese in composition as shown in Table 1.

The alloy powders were procured from M/s NR Chem, Mumbai, India. The powders were heated to 135°C in the muffle furnace for 10 mins to remove any moisture present in it [25–28]. The powders were then poured into a glass beaker which was precleaned with acetone and a clean dry cloth. The powders were agitated to evenly disperse and mix the ingredients [4, 29].

Table 1. Chemical composition of AA6061

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Bal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mixed powders were transferred to a mold and subjected to uniaxial compaction at 380 N/mm² using a 40 Ton Universal Testing Machine (UTM). To minimize the effect of frictional force, lauric acid was used as a lubricant in the die used during compaction [30]. The compaction process was repeated to make four different hybrid composites comprising of varying percentages by weight (2, 4, 6, and 8 wt.%) of the reinforcement powders TiB₂ (12–16 µm). The percentage composition of Al₂O₃ (37µm) was maintained at 2 wt.% in all the hybrid composites. TiB₂ powders were purchased from M/s Nano Research Lab, Jharkhand, India. Al₂O₃ powders were supplied by M/s Merck Life Science Pvt., Ltd., Mumbai, India.

Table 2 shows the material code relating the percentage composition of the reinforcement powders in the matrix (AA6061). The base material (AA6061) and the respective hybrid composites were compacted in a cast iron die to produce 120 mm long and 20 mm diameter components.

Table 2. Composition of produced materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AA60601</th>
<th>TiB₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Material</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite A</td>
<td>Bal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite B</td>
<td>Bal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite C</td>
<td>Bal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite D</td>
<td>Bal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The compacted materials were transferred to a vacuum hot press machine with a nitrogen-enriched atmosphere for sintering them. The sintering cycle consisted of heating the compacted powders to 565°C at a rate of 10°C/min. The temperature was elevated to 610°C, where it remained for 60 min. After this duration, the heating was cut-off and the composite or the base material was allowed to cool below 30°C within the furnace.

According to the rule of mixture, the theoretical density was determined as the sum of the densities of individual components in the respective material. The ratio between the mass and volume of the respective materials was used to calculate the green density and sintered density. Surface properties were examined according to ASTM E407 standard using an optical microscope (Make: MEJI. Model: MIL-7100) to compare the microstructures of the pre-sintered and post-sintered composites and the respective base materials.
materials. Scanning Electron Microscope (Make: Hitachi. Model: S3000H. Range: 50X–1000X) equipped with EDAX was used to examine the microstructure of the green compact, sintered material, and also the specimen subjected to wear tests. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show the EDAX taken for the base material AA6061 and the hybrid composite A respectively.

Wear tests were made on the produced materials using a variable drive pin on the disc machine. The size of the disc was 55 mm in diameter, while the pin (specimen) had a diameter of 10 mm. A supporting weight of 30 N was employed to balance the load transferred through the test specimen [31, 32]. The steel disc was engineered to rotate at 1800 rpm continuously, resulting in a transverse feed of 2 m/s. For each of the developed materials, the wear rate and coefficient of friction were reported. Tensile strength, percentage of elongation, and hardness of the base material and hybrid composites were also evaluated.

The tensile test was carried at 30°C using ASTM E8M-04 standards as shown in Fig. 3. The test specimen was held between the jaws of the ZWICK testing machine and allowing the cross-ram to traverse at 6X10^-4 m/min. Hardness was measured in the produced materials using a Vickers hardness test machine (Make: SHIMADZU, Japan; Model: HVM-T1), applying 0.5 kg to the specimen for 15 s. The readings for mechanical properties were taken three times from each specimen.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 4 shows the comparison between the theoretical density, the density of the green compact, and also that of the sintered materials used in this study. For all the materials, the theoretical density was greater than the green density and sintered density. This occurs because of the entrapment of air within the volume of the compacted materials, causing porosity in the material. The heat supplied during the sintering process enables the removal of air from the green compact, leading to the reduction in the mass of the respective materials.
homogeneity during the compaction process. In contrast to the theoretical density, the green density of composite ‘A’ decreased by 6.81 %, and the sintered density decreased by 7.88 %. The presence of reinforcement particles of 37–45 µm size had a profound effect in increasing the porosity of the hybrid composite.

Theoretically, the density of the hybrid composite increases proportionally with the addition of TiB₂ particles. This is because the reinforcement particulates have relatively high density compared to the matrix, which results in increasing the density of the hybrid composites. The densities of the green compact and sintered hybrid composites were lower than those of AA6061, which was surprising. It can be inferred that the large size of the reinforcement particles enabled high porosity during the compaction process entrapping a higher volume of air within the hybrid composites. Subsequently, the removal of air during the sintering of the hybrid composites reduced the mass and lowered its density.

Fig. 5 shows a comparison between the optical microstructure of the produced materials. The compacted specimen of AA6061 had microcavities that originated because of the entrapment of air between the compacted powders and the die head as shown in Fig. 5a. The sintered specimen of AA6061 revealed that the cavities became shallow because of the displacement of plasticized material at elevated temperatures.

The formation of dimpled in the sintered AA6061 reveals that the produced material exhibited ductile behavior as shown in Fig. 5b. Fig. 5c shows that the compacted hybrid composite ‘A’ had micropores, occurring because of the size variation between the matrix elements and reinforcement powders. Sintered composite ‘A’ exhibited blow holes that indicate the removal of entrapped air.

The surface of the said composite was riddled with micro chunks of the matrix material indicating that it gained brittle nature as shown in Fig. 5d. Due to the increase in percentage composition of TiB₂ particles in the hybrid composite ‘B’, the size and number of porous holes increased as shown in Fig. 5e. After sintering the hybrid composite ‘B’, the matrix element AA6061 underwent plastic flow at its surface. However, the surface was riddled with micropores that occurred while the entrapped air got ejected from the composite as shown in Fig. 5f.

The reinforcement particles agglomerated as the percentage composition of TiB₂ particles in the hybrid composite ‘C’ increased. As a result, the matrix material was clustered into micro islands amidst the agglomerated reinforcement materials as shown in Fig. 5g. After sintering the said hybrid composite, the occurrence of micropores and pinholes is because of the removal of entrapped air from the same as shown in Fig. 5h. Fig. 5i the optical microstructure of the hybrid composite D as reinforcement particulate agglomeration increases.

With the increase in the reinforcement composition, the hybrid composite exhibits tendency to retain heat energy for prolonged duration. This is because the reinforcement compositions, i.e, 8 wt.% TiB₂ and 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ particles have low thermal conductivity compared to AA6061. During the syntering process, the hybrid composite was held at elevated temperature for 60 min, which enabled sufficient heating of the reinforcement particulates. After the heater was cut-off, the heat energy was retained for a longer duration. This allowed plastizisation and subsequent precipitation of the matrix element in the hybrid composite as shown in Fig. 5j.

Fig. 6 shows the SEM images of AA6061 before and after sintering. During the green compaction process, the compression of the powders increased the molecular adhesion and cohesion. Because of this, the base material and the composites were able to retain structural integrity. However, the low-grade heat energy impased due to frictional heating during the compaction process had little impact in refining the grain structure of the alloy.

The compressive force during the compaction process was just sufficient to provide structural integrity on the produced material. Some micropores originated due to the mismatch along the edges of the compacted powders as shown in Fig. 5a. During the sintering process, AA6061 underwent grain restructure. However, there was the presence of microcracks and debonding as shown in Fig. 5b. This occurred as the result of heat retention by the alloying composition during the sintering process that deviated from the grain refinement in remote locations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>After green compaction</th>
<th>After sintering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA6061</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Compacted AA6061" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sintered AA6061" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite A</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Compacted composite A" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sintered composite A" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite B</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Compacted composite B" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sintered composite B" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite C</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Compacted composite C" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sintered composite C" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite D</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Compacted composite D" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sintered composite D" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5.** Optical micrographs of the green compact and sintered specimen of AA6061 and hybrid composites
Fig. 7 shows the stress vs strain curves of the green compacted materials produced during this study.

Table 3 shows the parameters recorded during the test. The stress vs strain curve shows that the base material made of AA6061 exhibited characteristics of classic ductile material. The regions of elasticity, transition to plastic deformation, subsequent elongation after reaching the ultimate tensile stress before fracturing under the applied load are evident in the stress strain curve. After adding the reinforcement particles of TiB₂ and Al₂O₃, the strain exhibited by the composite materials were reduced. It is inferred that the adhesion strength of the reinforcement particles with AA6061 during the compaction process was not as strong as the cohesive strength of the matrix material. As the result, the materials disinteragted after attaining their respective ultimate tensile strength.

Interestingly, all materials exhibited similar trends till they reached the ultimate tensile strength, indicating that the compaction process provided sufficient force to increase the strength of cohesion in the matrix element. The variation in stress and strain in the different composites were affected by the strength of adhesion between the reinforcement particles and the matrix element. As the result, the maximum stress and strain of the hybrid composite ‘A’ were reduced by 5.3 percent and 25%, respectively, when compared to the base material AA6061. Increasing the composition of TiB₂ particles by 2 wt.% resulted in the diminishing of the maximum stress and strain of the hybrid composite ‘B’. Increasing the TiB₂ particle content to 6% increased the maximum stress exhibited by the hybrid composite ‘C’ to 189 MPa. This was the same as that of the base material at high strain. However, at 8 wt.% of the TiB₂ particles the maximum stress value of the hybrid composite ‘D’ reduced to 170 MPa. It can be inferred that the addition of
the two reinforcement particles reduced its ductility.

Fig. 8 shows the extent of wear that occurred on the produced materials concerning the sliding distance. It is observed that for all the materials considered for this study, wear increased with the sliding distance. Surprisingly, up to a sliding distance of 260 m, the wear of all four hybrid composites was lower than that of the base material AA6061. This implies that the reinforcement materials extended their capability to resist wear until a reasonable extent of use. However, the hybrid composite containing 4 wt.% of TiB₂ particles and 2 wt.% of Al₂O₃ particles exhibited an increase in wear beyond 261 m of sliding distance. High percentage composition of the reinforcement particulates results in low adhesive strength. This leads to debonding of the few particulates over the matrix surface. The loose particulates contribute in grinding the matrix as it gets trapped below the pin of the wear test machine. A lower composition of TiB₂ particles i.e., 2 wt.% exhibited lower wear than the base material AA6061 up to 795 m.

Contrastingly, the wear of hybrid laminate containing 6 wt. % of TiB₂ particles and 2 wt.% of Al₂O₃ particles exhibited the lowest wear among all the materials used for this study. Rising the TiB₂ particle composition to 8 wt.% reverted the hybrid composite's ability to exhibit an increased wear rate. This is noted by the increase in its wear above the sliding distance of 485 m. It is observed that the trend in wear resistance exhibited by the hybrid laminate is nonlinear to its reinforcement composition.

It is inferred that composite C, which had superior grain structures when compared to its competitors, had good bonding strength between the matrix and reinforcement materials. This enhanced the ability of the sintered hybrid material, i.e., composite C to distribute the force along the surface subjected to the wear test. Thereby the material was able to withstand the applied force during the wear test and reduce the wear for extended use.

The reason for the increase in the wear rate is attributed to the presence of surface irregularities in the case of composite having 4 wt.% of TiB₂ particles. However, in the case of composite having 8 wt.% of TiB₂ particles, there was excessive heat dissipation due to constant rubbing of the reinforcement particles. This caused thermal expansion which allowed the detachment of few particulates from the surface. These loose particles increased the abrasion of soft AA6061, leading to an increase in wear.

Fig. 9 shows for all of the materials used in this work, there is a relationship between the coefficient of friction and the sliding distance.
friction for the composite having 6 wt.% TiB₂ particles and 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ particles as its reinforcements exhibited high coefficient of friction compared among its counterparts. It can be inferred that the reinforcement particulates were intact during the wear test. As the result, the reinforcement particles exhibited high friction over the pin of the wear test machine. The number of reinforcement particulates on the surface of the composite material having 2 wt.% and 4 wt.% TiB₂ particles along with 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ particles were less. Because of less contact area with the pin of the wear test machine, the coefficient of friction recorded during the test was less. However, the high reinforcement particulates in the composite having 8 wt.% TiB₂ particles and 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ particles resulted in high frictional heat. As the result, the many particulates got detached from the matrix element during the wear test, leading to low coefficient of friction in this composite.

Fig. 10 shows the comparison between the SEM images taken in the produced materials before and after the wear test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Before wear test</th>
<th>After wear test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA6061</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite A</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite B</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite C</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite D</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. SEM comparison before and after wear test on the produced materials
It is observed that the action of external force on the wear test specimen altered its structural characteristics. Wear lines were clearly distinguished in the base material, hybrid composite A as well as B. The increase in the wear lines indicates that these materials had undergone extensive deformation because of the force from the disc of the wear test machine.

Compared to the base material, hybrid composite A had shallow wear lines, indicating that the presence of the reinforcement particles, 2 wt.% of TiB2 and 2 wt.% of Al2O3 resisted the extent of wear occurring on the hybrid composite. Increasing the quantity of TiB2 particles to 4 wt.% showed defects like shallow pits and randomly distributed wear lines. This indicates that some of the TiB2 particles got detached because of poor bonding between the matrix and reinforcements in the hybrid composite B. However, the proper bonding between the compositions of hybrid composite C is noted in the SEM image. The retainment of the reinforcement particles after the wear test justifies the low wear and high coefficient of friction in the hybrid composite. In the case of hybrid composite D, defects before the wear test contributed to increasing the wear and lowering the coefficient of friction.

Further, it is noted that the brittle mode of fracture occurred in the wear test hybrid composite D. This is justified by the presence of cleavages in the SEM taken after the wear test. The fracture occurred because of the agglomeration of the reinforcement particulates observed in the sintered hybrid composite D.

Fig. 11 shows the variation in the hardness of the wear-tested hybrid laminates and the base material compared with its counterparts before the wear test. The hardness of the sintered materials before the wear test increased proportionally with the percentage composition of the reinforcements added to the matrix. However, a different scenario was observed after the wear test.

In the case of the base material and the hybrid composite A, the hardness decreased by 6.83% and 4.19% respectively. The frictional heat that occurred during the wear test had changed the surface properties of the material. This is evident from the SEM images shown in Fig. 10. The base material became soft, while the presence of 2 wt.% of each reinforcement particulates reduced the sintered hardness.
low thermal conductivity of the reinforcement particulates in the hybrid composites resulted in accumulation of heat energy near the surface. Throughout the duration of the wear test, the heat generation was restricted to its surface. After the wear test, the heat energy was quickly dissipated. This lead to increase in the hardness of both the hybrid composites. The reinforcement particulates of composite D debonded from the surface during the wear test. This resulted in heat generation and heat dissipation similar to the base material. As the result, the hardness reduced in the hybrid composite D.

Fig. 12 shows the tensile strength results obtained for the produced materials subjected to the wear test and also before the wear test. It is noted that after the wear test, the base material exhibited a 6.89% increase in tensile strength. This indicates that the frictional heat associated with the wear test altered the properties noted in the sintered material. It is observed that the addition of the reinforcement resulted in reducing the tensile strength by 27.24%, 39.65%, 30.69%, and 12.41% for every 2 wt.% increase in the TiB$_2$ particles.

The base material is comprised of the composition of aluminum alloy AA6061, making it homogeneous. As the result, it exhibited isotropic behavior, transmitting the applied load along the volume of the test specimen. However, the addition of TiB$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ particles converted the resulting hybrid composite to heterogeneous material. Because of this, the hybrid composites attained anisotropic behavior. The applied load increased the internal stress because of the presence of the reinforcement particles distributed within the AA6061 matrix. Hence the base material showed greater tensile strength compared to the hybrid composites.

It is observed that the hybrid composite having less than 6 wt.% of TiB$_2$ particles developed inferior tensile strength. This is because the low composition of the reinforcement particulates induced defects such as micro holes, agglomeration, and microcracks as shown in Fig. 10. These defects contributed to the failure of the hybrid laminates under minimal load. The absence of defects also increased the tensile strength of the base material.

Fig. 13 compares the changes in the elastic properties of the produced materials before and after the wear test. It is noted that the addition of the two reinforcement particles reduced the elastic behavior of the resulting hybrid composites. However, the frictional heat due to the wear increased the tensile property of the base material as noted in Fig. 14. This was because the base material underwent heat treatment that made it ductile. This is justified by the increase in the elongation percentage of the base material. However, the reinforcement materials induced brittle properties to the hybrid composites. Because of this, the elongation of the sintered hybrid composites was reduced and was affected further due to the frictional heat associated with the wear. Hence, the elongation of the wear-tested hybrid composites further reduced by 15.93 %, 6.92%, 12.64%, and 5.88% for the hybrid composite A, B, C, and D respectively.

It is observed that compared between the four hybrid composites, the composite C having...
2 wt.% of Al₂O₃ particles and 6 wt.% of TiB₂ particles exhibited less wear rate for extended sliding distance, good hardness, moderate tensile strength, and decent elongation percentage. Hence the hybrid composite C is taken as a superior material compared to its counterparts.

![Graph](image)

Fig. 13. Elongation properties before and after wear test on the produced materials

4. CONCLUSIONS

- Four hybrid composite materials consisting of 2 wt.% of Al₂O₃ particles and 2, 4, 6, and 8 wt.% of TiB₂ particles were produced using powder metallurgy route and sintering process. The mechanical properties such as tensile strength and hardness of the produced hybrid composites were compared with that of the base material AA6061 which was also produced using the powder metallurgy route.
- The sintered hybrid composite sample C consisting of 2 wt.% of Al₂O₃ particles and 6 wt.% of TiB₂ particles exhibited fewer defects than the base materials.
- The hybrid composite C exhibited less wear compared to its counterparts and a high coefficient of friction because of proper bonding between the matrix and reinforcement particulates resulting in superior structural rigidity.
- The hardness of the hybrid composite C after the wear test was found to be 1.35% greater than its sintered counterpart. This is because of the grain refinement on the surface of the composite as a result of the frictional heat during the wear test.
- Tensile strength of the hybrid composite C increased after the wear test because of the grain refinement. This enhanced the ability of the sample to distribute load isotropically.
- Percent elongation of hybrid composite C increased by 12.64% compared to its sintered counterpart. However, the mechanical property of the other three hybrid composites was reduced after the wear test.
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