

PROCESSING AND CHARACTERIZATION OF AA2024/Al₂O₃/SiC REINFORCED HYBRID COMPOSITES USING SQUEEZE CASTING TECHNIQUE

M Senthil Kumar ^{1*}, RV Managalaraja ², K Senthil Kumar ³, L Natrayan ¹.

¹ School of Mechanical and Building Sciences, VIT, Chennai, India.

² Department of Materials Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Concepcion, Concepcion, Chile.

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Dhaanish Ahmed College of Engineering, Chennai, India.

*msv305@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT

The present requirement of automobile industry is seeking lightweight material that satisfies the technical and technological requirements with better mechanical and tribological characteristics. Aluminium matrix composite (AMC) materials meet the requirements of the modern demands. AMCs are used in automotive applications as engine cylinders, pistons, disc and drum brakes. This paper investigates the effect of particle size and wt% of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement on mechanical and tribological properties of hybrid metal matrix composites (HMMCs). AA2024 aluminium alloy is reinforced with Al₂O₃/SiC different particle sizes (10, 20 and 40 µm) and weight fractions (upto 10 wt %) were fabricated by using squeeze casting technique. HMMCs were characterized for its properties such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), density, scanning electron microscope (SEM), hardness, tensile strength, wear and coefficient of friction. AA2024/5wt%Al₂O₃/5wt%SiC with 10 µm reinforced particle size showed maximum hardness and tensile strength 156.4 HV and 531.43 MPa and decrease in wear rate was observed from 0.00307 to 0.00221 for 10N. Hybrid composites showed improved mechanical and wear resistance suitable for engine cylinder liner applications.

Keywords: Hardness, Density, Tensile strength, Wear, Squeeze casting and Particle size.

1. INTRODUCTION

Current requirement of automotive industries is to seek improved fuel efficiency, properties, friction and wear resistance [1]. This led to development of lightweight and low cost material for cylinders block, liners, piston, cam shafts, lifters, brake components, frame members, etc [2].

The cylinder liner, serves as a sliding surface for the piston rings retaining the lubricant. The combustion heat taken up by the piston and piston rings, transmits to the

coolant. Failure of the liners can affect the performance of the engine seriously. This leads to mechanical energy loss and emits significant amount of particulate emissions. Cast iron (CI) cylinder liners offer excellent wear-resistance at high temperatures. The limitation of the CI liner is the development of air pockets that lead to prematured failure of engine cylinder. To overcome this limitation, metal matrix composite (MMC) were used as cylinder liners with superior tribological characteristics and sufficient strength. Selection of right material for an engineering application depends on properties such as strength, wear, density, light weight, melting point, cost, etc. Though, aluminium (Al) and mild steel showed better response compared to other materials. Steel whose density is three times of Al, with low CTE and thermal conductivity makes it an unlikely materials for cylinder liners. Al stands out as a better choice due to its good thermal conductivity. Moreover, CTE found to be similar to Al piston and it satisfies the requirement of low weight. Al and its alloys show low hardness and degradation in mechanical and tribological properties at elevated temperatures, which limits its application in engineering [3-5].

It is well known, that the addition of reinforcement (hard material) to matrix (Metal matrix composites-MMC) improves the mechanical and tribological properties [6, 7]. MMCs retain the property of toughness and ductility derived from matrix, strength and stiffness from the reinforcement. Tailoring the mechanical properties of MMC for specific application is identified by matrix, type, size and amount of reinforcement, and the fabrication technique.

Matrix materials used in MMCs are Al, Mg, Gr, Ti, etc. Though, Ti, Mg and Al are popular matrix materials. Ti known for its high specific strength, has a density twice the Al and reactive at high temperatures. While Mg and its alloys possess good specific mechanical properties, its low absolute strength and limited ductility limits the application. Al and its alloys showed a better response as a matrix material [8] due to its low density, high thermal conductivity and potentially a stable material for tribological applications. Addition of impurities in Al alloys exhibit a heterogeneous microstructure. It is seen apparently in high strength Al alloys 2xxx, 6xxx, 7xxx and 8xxx series. The selection of an Al alloy is totally based on a specific engineering application. AA2xxx series satisfies the requirement of high strength for aerospace and automotive applications. It shows better mechanical properties and low wear resistance [9].

Similarly, proper selection of reinforcement helps the AMC in achieving the desired mechanical properties [10]. Al_2O_3 , SiC, Gr, B_4C , Si_3N_4 , TiC, TiB_2 , TiO_2 , Ti and W [11-13] are the reinforcement used. **The most commonly used reinforcement are Al_2O_3 and SiC. The reinforcement Al_2O_3 offered good wear resistance and the tensile strength, hardness, density and wear resistance increase with SiC addition to Al and its alloys [14]. Increase in volume fraction of Al_2O_3 (15 Vol%) in Al2024, increased the ultimate tensile strength [15] and decreased the ductility [16].** The application of pressure in molten Al decreases the porosity and improves wettability and bonding in AA2024/ Al_2O_3 composite [17]. Al2024 composite fabricated with different particle sizes (16, 32, 66 μm) of Al_2O_3 reinforcement increase the composite hardness and tensile strength with increase in Al_2O_3 volume fraction up to 10 % at the lowest particle size (16 μm). Wear resistance of composites decrease with increasing sliding distance, abrasive grit size and load [18]. The wear behavior is affected by the size of the particulate. Steady load on composites with large particulate size displayed exceptional wear [19]. SiC (15 wt%) reinforced AMC demonstrate good strength, hardness and wear resistance [20]. The ultimate tensile strength, yield strength, hardness and compressive strength of Al2024/SiC/Gr hybrid composite increase with increasing volume fraction of reinforcement [21]. The wear rate of AMC reinforced SiC increased by increasing the load, speed and sliding distances [22]. AMCs offer better strength at high temperatures, good wear resistance, stiffness, low coefficient of friction and thermal expansion [23,24]. Hardness of composites increased with increase in wt% of the reinforcement [25]. The particulates Al_2O_3 and SiC offers a good support in tailoring the properties for its application in aerospace, automobiles and various other fields [26].

Selection of right fabrication technique for the processing of HMMC improves the mechanical properties. So, far no unique processing route has been identified in particular. Different fabrication techniques in practice are liquid metal infiltration [27] stir casting [28-30], squeeze casting [31], etc. Traditional stir casting process reported segregation, micro-porosity and poor adhesive nature of the composites [14,32]. The novel squeeze casting technique has the potential to overcome these limitations. **Its advantage is cast components can be fabricated in a single step process with low squeeze pressure. Hence, along with the low cost of starting materials makes squeeze casting a preferable method for manufacturing of components. Thermal limitations of the die restrict the squeeze casting to light and low melting point**

alloys of aluminium and magnesium. The squeeze pressure shows the effect of undercooling along with the dissipation of heat through the dies that promotes quick solidification. It reduces the contact time between the reinforced particles and the molten aluminum and decreased the possibility of interfacial reactions [33]. The squeeze pressure of 100 MPa and time duration 30 sec [34] is found to be adequate to obtain microstructural refinement, reduction in porosity, and achieve a complete contact between the metal and the die surface.

Thus makes it suitable for manufacture of light alloy components in large production quantities. Higher solidification rate helps in reinforcement distribution, decrease in porosity, low operating costs and good surface finish [35] exhibiting better mechanical properties. Hence, it stands out as an attractive processing technique [36].

The drive by automotive industries towards sustainable growth and contribution towards society's life improvement greatly depends on the use of alternative materials made them to use the MMCs extensively. The requirement of good mechanical properties and wear resistance necessitated the need for hybrid metal matrix composites (HMMC) combining the advantages of reinforcement. This made the research to be conducted remarkably by using the Al_2O_3 and SiC reinforced with AA2024 to study its suitability for liner application. This paper attempts, the fabrication of HMMC using reinforcement $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ with different wt% (1-10 wt%) and particle sizes (10, 20 and 40 μm) using squeeze casting technique. The prepared s were characterized to understand the properties such as density, XRD, tensile, hardness, wear, coefficient of friction and wear microstructure,.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Fig. 1 shows the setup of the squeeze casting process used in the fabrication of HMMCs. AA2024 alloy was chosen as a metal matrix and reinforcement with different wt% of Al_2O_3 (Sigma Aldrich, 99.9%) and SiC (Sigma Aldrich, 97.5%) were used. The composition of matrix and reinforcement selected is shown in table 1. In order to study, the effect of reinforcement, Al_2O_3 and SiC particulates were milled to obtain 10, 20 and 40 μm particle sizes. Based on the composition, appropriate wt% of AA2024 alloy was taken in the graphite crucible and heated in the furnace at 750 °C. Mg 1 wt% was added in to the molten alloy to increase the wettability of the reinforcement into the matrix. **Die consists of two stainless steel spacers, die, base and stainless steel plunger. The cylindrical shaped die is considered to minimize leakage, deliver**

constant pressure and ensure even ejection of the cast . The dimensions of the die cavity are 250 mm length and 50 mm diameter. Hydraulic plunger is used to apply the pressure (100 MPa). Die temperature is 150°C maintained constantly. The molten alloy was stirred at 250 rpm for 10 min [17] to achieve the homogeneous dispersion. The reinforcement preheated in the furnace at 400°C was introduced into the molten AA2024 slowly during stirring. In order to reduce the oxidation during the process, the experiments were conducted in an argon atmosphere. Molten alloy was poured into the die cavity and squeeze pressure 100 MPa was applied for 30 s by the punch. Die-metal interface promotes rapid heat transfer to solidify and then was ejected from the die. T4 condition was obtained by heat treating HMMC at 493 °C and then water quenched. Finally aging was carried out at the room temperature. The samples were prepared according to ASTM standards to study the properties hardness, tensile strength, and wear.

Structural and phase identification of the HMMCs were evaluated by using X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyzer using the model XRD-3000, SEIFURT. XRD spectra were recorded with a graphite monochromatic Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda= 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) in the 2θ range from 5 to 70 ° with a scan rate 2 °/min. Density of s were determined using Archimedes principle on a Mettler Toledo ME204 apparatus (ASTM D792-91). Samples cut into small piece were weighed in air and water. Theoretical density was estimated by applying rule of mixtures for different weight fraction of Al₂O₃/SiC particles. The difference between expected and observed density of the samples were used to evaluate the porosity.

Micro-hardness of HMMC s was measured using the Vickers digital micro-hardness (Shimadzu JIS Q 17025) tester under the standard load 0.05 Kg gram for the duration of 15 s (Fig. 2). For accurate measurements, the sample surfaces were grinded and polished to create well-defined indentation. To avoid the effect of segregation of particulates, the average of five tests was taken for the each sample. The mechanical behavior of HMMCs was studied using tensile test as per the standard ASTM E08-8. The composite sample with a diameter 6mm and gauge length 30 mm was used for the tests were polished using 600 grit sand paper before the measurement (Fig. 3). **A computer controlled tensile testing machine shown in Fig.4(a)** (Shimadzu JIS Q 17025) at a cross-head speed of 0.5mm s⁻¹ was used for the tensile strength measurement. **The tensile test sample loaded in the UTM is shown in Fig 4 (b)**

Dry sliding wear behavior of HMMCs was investigated using the pin on disc test system (DUCOM, TR-20LE); the samples were prepared as per the standard ASTM G99-05. Pin sample dimensions selected was diameter 6 mm and height 15 mm, were machined and polished metallographically is shown in Fig. 3. An Oil Hardened Nickel Steel (ONHS) of diameter 55 mm steel disc of 62 HRC was used as the counter surface in the wear test. Samples were tested at the ambient condition where the relative humidity was about 60–65%. Wear test was performed at sliding speeds 2, 3, 4 m/s for different loads 10 and 20 N. The sliding distance and wear track diameter 1200 m and 30 mm were used respectively. Measurements were performed in unlubricated condition. The weight loss of samples (cleaned with acetone) was determined by using an electronic weighing balance with a resolution of ± 0.1 mg. The coefficient of friction for the given sliding distance was recorded continuously. Wear tested samples surfaces and EDS analysis were done through scanning electron microscopy using the model CARL ZEISS SEM.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Phase evolution of HMMCs

Fig.5 (a-f) shows the XRD pattern for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ reinforced AA2024 metal matrix composite (10 μm particle size). The XRD patterns indicate the phases Al, Al_2O_3 , SiC, Al_4C_3 and Al_4SiC_4 due to the reaction between Al and SiC particulates. The intensity of the peaks was found to vary with composition. It is clearly observed that peak shift was observed with increasing wt% of reinforcement $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$. (Fig a-e). Fig. 5 (f) indicates well-defined crystalline peaks. The peaks were compared with the standard diffraction data to analyze the presence of different phases. 2θ reflections at 37.60, 45.32, 65.13, 78.71 and 82.65 $^\circ$ correspond to AA2024 phase. It exhibits FCC crystal structure with the lattice parameter of $a = 0.404$ nm which is comparable to the JCPDS No.85-1327. Al_2O_3 phase is confirmed from the peaks at 2θ , 35.81, 43.03, 68.32 and 66.42 $^\circ$ that match with JCPDS card No.10-0173. SiC peak at 2θ , 28.62, 44.18, 60.32 and 69.83 $^\circ$ confirmed with the JCPDS No. 29-1128. The less intense peaks found at 2θ , 42.39 and 46.59 $^\circ$ was due to the Al_4C_3 and Al_4SiC_4 that formed during the reaction at the interface between Al and SiC particulates [37-40]. However, no reaction is observed between the reinforcement Al_2O_3 and the matrix Al. It is evidenced from the XRD pattern that the diffraction intensity and broadening of the peaks varies with volume fraction and particle size of reinforcement.

3.2 The density and porosity of the composites

The effect of different weight fraction and particle size of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement on the density and porosity of HMMCs is shown graphically in fig.6 and 7. Trend shows that the reinforcement weight fraction (1 to 10 wt%) and particle size play an important role in controlling the density of the composites. The composite density increased with increase in Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement (up to 10 wt%) and particle size (Fig. 6). Furthermore, it is interesting to note that the HMMCs with 40 μm particle size showed higher density than particle sizes with 20 and 10 μm. The observed density is consistent with the results reported in the previous research [17, 41]. Fig.7 shows the variation in porosities for different particle sizes and wt% of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement. The porosity was found to be high in the sample AA2024/0.5wt%Al₂O₃/0.5wt%SiC. Porosity decreased with increasing wt% and increased particle size in the samples.

The observed densities were found to be little low and closer to the theoretical density. Hence porosities were unavoidable in all the s. It seems porosity in MMCs is unavoidable to some extent due to the steps involved in the fabrication process. The creation of air bubbles during the stirring, air contact with molten metal during pouring, evolution of hydrogen and shrinkage during the solidification are reasons for the porosity [17, 42]. Observation shows that the porosity in composite sample showed the highest for 40 μm particle size in all the s with the increasing wt% of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement. The major reason being the squeeze pressure applied and better wettability that provided the strong bonding force between AA2024 and Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement.

3.3 Mechanical Properties of the composites

3.3.1 Vickers hardness test

Fig. 8 shows the Vickers hardness of AA2024/Al₂O₃/SiC hybrid composites. HMMC hardness increased with the increase in reinforcement wt% and decreasing particle size. The different weight fractions of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement significantly improve the hardness of the metal matrix as observed in various reports [43-45]. It is also noticed from the results the particle size of the reinforcement influences the hardness of the HMMC. The composite samples fabricated with the reinforcement particle size 10 μm showed the highest hardness than 20 and 40 μm. HMMC sample AA2024/5wt%Al₂O₃/5wt%SiC with the particle size 10 μm revealed the highest hardness 156.4 HV. Higher the weight fraction of Al₂O₃/ SiC harder and well bonded

particulates in metal matrix increases the constraint to the plastic deformation and dislocation density increases the hardness of HMMCs [46]. Therefore, the experimental results conclude that the addition of hard $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ reinforcement improved the hardness of the AA2024 alloy by 14.1 %.

3.3.2 Tensile strength

HMMCs sample fabricated with 10 μm subjected to tensile strength test is shown in fig. 9. Tensile strength of HMMCs showed the trend similar to the hardness that varied with different weight fraction of reinforcement and particle sizes (Fig.10). The sample (AA2024/5wt% Al_2O_3 /5wt%SiC) with 10 μm reinforced particle size showed the maximum tensile strength and it was found to be 531.43MPa [31]. Tensile strength of the composite increased with increase in $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ reinforcement up to 10 wt% and decrease in particle size (40 to 10 μm). The particle size and wt% of the reinforcement play a significant role in increasing the tensile strength of composites.

Hard reinforcement $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ strongly bonded to the AA2024 matrix is responsible for the increase in dislocation density near the matrix reinforcement interface and grain strengthening effect [47, 48]. The dislocation density increase is mainly due to the increase in wt% of SiC that hinders the dislocation motion [49]. Tensile strength of the HMMCs 13.3 % higher than AA2024 metal matrix, and was found to be substantial [40, 50]. It is evident that the reinforcement 10 μm particle size with strong interfacial bonding between the AA2024 alloy and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ particulates enhance the tensile strength. **Tensile strength of the HMMCs is 13.3 % higher than AA2024 metal matrix.**

Generally, the ductility of Al alloy based composites is quantified by tensile elongation. For the composite sample AA2024/5wt% Al_2O_3 /5wt%SiC with 10 μm particle size, elongation measured was 4 % is lower than AA2024 (6 %). Tensile elongation was found to be high for the composite sample with 20 and 40 μm particle sizes. Composite sample AA2024/0.5wt% Al_2O_3 /0.5wt%SiC showed the highest elongation. Further elongation decrease with increasing wt% of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ [17, 50-52]. Though the addition of reinforcement contributes to increase in hardness and tensile strength, it showed a decrease in elongation %. The results of this research were consistent with the previous literature [53]. This considerable decrease in ductility is due to the addition of reinforcement.

3.4 Wear properties of the composites

HMMC generally possesses high specific strength and superior wear resistance. Addition of ceramic particles into the metal matrix improves the seizure resistance compared to the pure alloy. It is reported that AA2024 reinforced $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ and graphite particulates with $10\mu\text{m}$ particle size exhibit better mechanical properties [16, 29, 36]. Hence, HMMC with $10\mu\text{m}$ reinforcement particle size selected for wear study. Fig. 11 shows the dry sliding wear behavior of composites at three different velocities 2, 3 and 4 m/s. A decrease in wear rate was observed for the increase in reinforcement wt% for the different velocities. The wear rate was found to be lowest for sample (AA2024/5wt% Al_2O_3 /5wt% SiC) tested at the constant velocity 4 m/s.

The sample (AA2024/0.5wt% Al_2O_3 /0.5wt% SiC) wear rate was found to be higher than the others for the different velocities at 10 N loads. During the wear test process, SiC reinforcement thrown out of the composite pin gets logged on the disk. This converts the adhesive wear into abrasive wear. Due to this reason, greater amount of material is removed from the pin sample with lower wt% of reinforcement (AA2024/0.5wt% Al_2O_3 /0.5wt% SiC). The composite wear loss decreased with the increase in velocity and reinforcement wt% of HMMCs (Fig. 11). The main reason is dispersion of reinforcement act as load bearing components [54]. The other reason being the increase in interfacial temperature that creates the oxidation layer on the composite. The oxide layer acted as a protective layer that prevents the sliding interfaces [55]. Hence, increasing the sliding velocity increased the thickness of the protective layer over the entire surface of the pin, thus resulting in less wear loss. Increase in sliding velocity from 2 to 4 m/s, decreased the composite wear rate by 37.3 %.

The variation in wear rate at various loads for the constant sliding velocity 4m/s for $10\mu\text{m}$ particle size is shown in fig. 12. Decrease in wear was observed with increase in reinforcement from 1 to 10 wt% for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$ respectively. With the increase in wt% of the reinforcement SiC in the composite increased the contact area with the steel counter face that improved the wear resistance. The wear rate (Fig. 12) increased with increase in load due to the increased frictional forces that lead to high coefficient of friction at low wt% (1-6 wt%) of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiC}$. In addition frictional force increases the temperature of the composite which in turn increased the wear rate during the sliding process [56]. The decrease in wear rate was observed from 0.00307 to 0.00221 for 10 N and 0.00314 to 0.00225 for 20 N. The wear resistance improved by 29.6 %. The increase in reinforcement wt% and load from 10 to 20 N increased the wear rate.

Fig. 13 shows the effect of coefficient friction of HMMCs with 10 μ m particle size at different loads. It showed a decreasing trend with increase in wt% of reinforcement at constant load. However, the coefficient of friction was found to be high at the 20N load. It is known that the interaction between the load and the sliding distance demonstrated significant effect on wear behavior of the composites [57]. Hard reinforcement Al₂O₃ presence confirmed the role of solid lubricant [58]. Interaction of Al₂O₃ particles on the wear surface prevents the metal to metal surface contact that reduced the coefficient of friction between the pin and the disk. The coefficient of friction decreased from 0.385 to 0.346 for 10 N and for the 20 N load from 0.395 to 0.349. The results revealed that the coefficient of friction decreased by 12.4 % with decrease in load from 10 to 20 N. Increased wear resistance with decreased coefficient of friction is due to the presence of protective layer over the entire surface of the pin as confirmed in the previous literature that the Al₂O₃ and B₄N particles acts as solid lubricant [54,58]. The results convey that frictional behavior of the HMMCs depends on load, sliding speed and reinforcement wt%.

3.5. Morphological Analysis

Fig.14 illustrates the micrograph of typical AA2024/Al₂O₃/SiC composites with 10 μ m particle size exposed to the 20 N loads. SEM micrographs revealed that the HMMCs show both abrasive and adhesive wear along the wear track sliding direction. Also, some loose debris particles were observed on the surface of the composites and a thin film is formed between the two contacting surfaces. Microstructural analysis gave a clear distinction about the mechanism of material removal at higher loads exhibited adhesive wear. Micrograph 14 (a-c) showed severely damaged regions indicates the patches and abrasion in the worn surface [57, 58]. **Its shows the presence of Al₂O₃/SiC particles with cracks inside the wear path. Fig 15 shows the EDS analysis for the sample AA2024/0.5wt%Al₂O₃/0.5wt%SiC which showed different chemical composition rich in Al and other elements Si, C, Mg, O and Fe. The results of EDS analysis are summarized in Table 2. The composite contains about 87.35 wt% Al, 8.41 wt% of C and 2.15 wt% O.**

Illustration from fig. 14 (c,d) shows cavities and grooves along the slide direction in the samples AA2024/2wt%Al₂O₃/2wt%SiC and AA2024/3wt%Al₂O₃/3wt%SiC. The reinforcement removed forms a thin tribo film that prevents the direct contact between the metal and the sliding surface. Further prevents the breaking of Al₂O₃ particles. Hence, wear rate observed is low compared to the sample AA2024/0.5wt%Al₂O₃/0.5wt%SiC. The reinforcement Al₂O₃/SiC acted

as a protective layer. From the fig 14 (e) small patches were observed in the sample AA2024/4wt%Al₂O₃/4wt%SiC, as Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement acted as a barrier preventing the movement of dislocation. It is observed that **small fragments of the material have been removed inside and on the edges of the wear track.** Sample AA2024/5wt%Al₂O₃/5wt%SiC with small cracks inside the wear path that represented smooth surface than other samples (Fig. 14 f). It demonstrated that the composite sample AA2024/5wt%Al₂O₃/5wt%SiC showed better deformation resistance and wear. This resulted in decreased material removal rate during wear test. **The presence of Al₂O₃/SiC also noticed on HMMC worn surfaces with the EDS analysis is shown in fig. 16. The corresponding chemical composition of EDS results is presented in the table 3.**

It is interesting to note that in this wear study deformation resistance offered by SiC, fractured into small pieces that produced wear debris particle. This debris prevents the disk from penetrating into the metal protecting the soft aluminium matrix, thereby increasing the wear resistance.

4. Conclusions:

HMMCs with different weight percentages and particle sizes of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement were successfully prepared by squeeze casting method. The samples were characterized and drawn the following conclusions:

1. Composite density increased with increasing weight percentage and decreasing particles size of Al₂O₃/SiC reinforcement.
2. **AA2024/5wt%Al₂O₃/5wt%SiC (10µm particle size) showed maximum hardness and tensile strength 156.4 HV and 531.43 MPa.**
3. Wear study revealed the most important factor affecting the friction coefficient of the hybrid composite is the load followed by sliding speed. **The decrease in wear rate was observed from 0.00307 to 0.00221 for 10 N and 0.00314 to 0.00225 for 20 N.**
4. The coefficient of friction decreased from 0.385 to 0.346 for 10 N and for the 20 N load from 0.395 to 0.349.

The results derived from this research claims that Al₂O₃/SiC (10 µm particle size) reinforced AA2024 significantly enhances the mechanical and wear properties. **Therefore, it can be concluded that prepared HMMCs stands as the best suited material with characteristics in**

the application of engine cylinder liner to meet the modern demands of the automotive industries.

Acknowledgement:

Authors would like to acknowledge the Management and Dean-SMBS, VIT, Chennai, India for providing the necessary support to carry out this research. Our special thanks to Bio-technology division, VIT, Vellore for the permission rendered to carry out the EDS analysis. Our sincere thanks to Strength materials laboratory, VIT, Chennai for providing the Tensile and Hardness test facility to pursue this research.

Reference

1. M. Kim, T.Lim, K. Yoon, Y. Ko, J.M. Kim and K. Kwak: SAE Technical Paper,01 (2011) 0537.
2. Mortensen, Andreas, and Javier Llorca: Annu. Rev. Mater. Res, 40 (2010) 243-270.
3. M. Surappa: Sadhana, 28 (2003) 319-334.
4. Seong Jin Kim, Kwang Seok Kim and Ho Jang: J. Mater. Process.Tech, 136 (2003) 202-208.
5. P. Poddar, V.C.Srivastava, P.K. De and K.L. Sahoo: Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 460 (2007) 357-64.
6. S.V. Prasad and R.Asthana: Tribol. Lett, 17 (2004) 445-453.
7. S. Basavarajappa, G. Chandramohan and J.Paulo Davim: Mater des, 28 (2007) 1393-1398.
8. Ozden, Sedat, Recep Ekici and Fehmi Nair: Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf, 38 (2007) 484-494.
9. Kaczmar J W, Pietrzak K, Wosi ski W: J. Mater. Process.Tech. 106 (2000) 58-67.
10. Onat, Adem, Hatem Akbulut and Fevzi Yilmaz: J. Alloys. Compd. 436 (2007) 375-382.
11. S. Basavarajappa, G.Chandramohan and J. Paulo Davim: Mater. Des, 28(2007) 1393-1398.
12. E.Law , S.D. Pang and S.T Quek: Composite Part B, 42 (2011) pp.92–98.
13. V.Senthil Kumar and B.U. Omprakash: J. Manuf. Process. 13(2011) 60–66.
14. S.V.S. Narayana Murty, B. Nageswara Rao and B.P. Kashyap: Composites sci. technol. 63 (2003) 119-135.
15. L.Natrayan, Maharshi Singh and M. Senthil Kumar : IJMPERD, 7 (2017) 663-668.
16. A.N. Abdel-Azim, Y.Shash, S.F.Mostafa and A.Younan: J. Mater. Process. Tech. 55 (1995) 199-205.
17. M. Kok: J. Mater. Process.Tech, 161(2005) 381- 387.
18. M. Kök and K. Özdin K: J. Mater. Process. Tech. 183 (2007) 301-09.
19. E. Hajjari and M. Divandari : Mater. Des,29 (2008) 1685-1689.
20. S.B. Prabu, L. Karunamoorthy, S. Kathiresan and B.Mohan : J. Mater. Process. Tech. 171 (2006) 268-273.

21. S. Basavarajappa, G. Chandramohan, A. Dinesh: Int. symposium of research on Materials and Engineering- IIT- Madras, 20 (2004) 1-8.
22. R.N. Rao, S. Das: Mater. Des. 31 (2010) 1200-1207.
23. D.B. Miracle: Compos. Sci. Technol, 65 (2005) 2526-2540.
24. Y. Sahin and S. Murphy: J. Mater. Sci, 34 (2006) 5399–5407.
25. M. Kok: J. Mater. Process.Tech, 161(2005) 381- 387.
26. J. Hashim, L. Looney, and M. S. J. Hashmi: J. Mater. Process.Tech, 123 (2002) 251-257.
27. Zhong Yong, S U Guoyue, and Y A N G Ke : J. Mater. Sci. Tech,19 (2009) 413-416.
28. Nakai Yoshie et al.: ISIJ. INT, 50 (2010) 403-410.
29. Hao Shiming et.al: Sci. Eng. Compos. Mater, 22 (2015) pp.673-684.
30. X.N. Zhang, L. Geng and G.S.Wang: J. Mater. Process. Tech, 176 (2006) 146-151.
31. D.P. Myriounis, S.T. Hasan, N.M. Barkoula, A. Paipetis and T.E. Matikas: Adv. Mater, 41 (2009) 18-27.
32. Belete Sirahbizu Yigezu, P.K. Jha and M.M. Mahapatra: Mater. Manuf. Process,28 (2013) 969-979.
33. TT. Long, T. Nishimura, T. Aisaka, M. Ose and M. Morita: T Jpn I Met 29 (1988) 920-927.
34. Young-Ho Seo and Chung-Gil Kang: J. mater. proc. Technol., 55 (1995) 370-379.
35. T.R. Vijayaram, S.Sulaiman, A.M.S. Hamouda, M.H.M. Ahmad: J. Mater. Sci. Technol, 178 (2006) 34-38.
36. Jinfeng Leng, Gaohui W U, Qingbo Zhou, Zuoyong Dou and XiaoLi Huang: Scr. Mater, 59 (2008) 619-622.
37. L.M.Tham, M.Gupta and L.Cheng: Acta. Mater, 49 (2001) 3243-3253.
38. M.Boopathi, K.P. Mahendra, Arulshri and N. Iyandurai: Am. J. Appl. Sci, 10 (2013) 219.
39. Kiourtsidis, Grigoris E and Stefanos M Skolianos: Wear, 253 (2002) 946-956.
40. K.M. Shorowordi, T. Laoui, Haseeb ASMA, Celis and L. Froyen : J. Mater. Process. Tech, 42 (2003) 738–743.
41. Sahin Y: Mater. Des. 24 (2003) 671–679.
42. Madsen Bo and Hans Lilholt: Compos. Sci. Technol, 63 (2003) 1265-1272.
43. S.K.Thakur and B.K. Dhindaw: Wear, 247 (2001) 199-201.
44. S.Suresha and B.K. Sridhara: Mater. Des. 31 (2010) 1804-1812.
45. M.Mahendra Boopathi, K.P.Arulshri, N.Iyandurai: Am. J. Appl. Sci. 10 (2013) 219 -229.
46. M.Singla, D.D.Dwivedi, L.Singh,V.Chawla: JMMCE. 8 (2009) 455-67.
47. R. Karthigeyan, G. Ranganath and S. Sankaranarayanan: Eur. J. Sci. Res. 68 (2012) 606-615.
48. YC. Madhu Kumar and Uma Shankar: Int. J. Mod. Eng. Res. 2 (2012) 3207-3209.
49. N.Radhika and R.Subramanian: Ind.Lubr.Tribol. 65 (2013) 166-174.
50. K.P.Umanath, K.Palanikumar and S.T.Selvamani: Composites Part B.53 (2013) 159-168.
51. Yigezu, Belete Sirahbizu, Manas Mohan Mahapatra and Pradeep Kumar Jha: JMMCE. 1 (2013) 124.

52. Tian J and Shobu K: *J. Mater. Sci.* 39 (2004) 3751–3755.
53. Nagara! M, Bharath V and Auradi V: *Mater. Sci. Eng*,2 (2013) 120-126.
54. Hayrettin Ahlatci, Tolga Koçer, Ercan Candan and Huseyin Çimenođlu: *Tribol. Int.*39 (2006) 213-220.
55. Sudarshan and M. K. Surappa: *Mater. Sci. Eng. A*, 480 (2008) 117-124.
56. N. Altinkok, I. Ozsert and F. Findik: *Acta Phys. Pol.*124 (2013) 11-19.
57. Y. Sahin and K. Özdin: *Mater. Des.* 29 (2008) 728-733.
58. S. C. Tjong, K. C. Lau and S. Q. Wu: *Metall. Trans. A*, 30 (1999) 2551–2555.

in Press