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Abstract: The superconducting properties of the $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$ ceramic system depend strongly on the synthesis conditions and the ionic substitutions. In this work, we studied the structural and electrical properties of the $\text{Ru}_x\text{M}_{x-1}\text{Sr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$ system, with $M = \text{Zr}, \text{Mo}, \text{and Mn}$. The samples were prepared by solid-state reaction at ambient pressure in air, using temperatures between 980 °C and 1020 °C. X-ray powder diffraction patterns indicated that all samples crystallized in a tetragonal symmetry (S.G. P4/mmm, No. 123). The structural data of each sample was refined by the Rietveld method, showing that the Cu-O (1) and Ru-O (1) bond lengths varies with the substituted ionic radii of Zr, Mo, and Mn ions. Electrical resistance measurements indicated that the samples annealed in flowing oxygen at 1050 °C and 1055 °C for 5 days exhibit a semiconductor like behavior for $M = \text{Mo and Mn}$, whereas the samples with $M = \text{Zr and Ru}$ show superconductivity behavior.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The rutheno-cuprates $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$ (Ru-1212) and $\text{RuSr}_2(\text{R}^{x+}\text{Ce}^{1-x+})\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_10$ (R—Sm, Eu and Gd) are novel materials in which ferromagnetism and superconductivity coexists over a wide range of temperature [1]. Bauernfeind et al. [2] synthesized Ru-1212 compound for the first time and Felner et al. [3] discovered the extraordinary coexistence of both magnetism and superconductivity in these compounds two years later. The Ru-1212 layered cuprate exhibits a layered triple perovskite similar to the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$ system, with $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\text{Y}^{3+}$ replaced by $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\text{Gd}^{3+}$, respectively, and containing $\text{RuO}_2$ planes instead of the $\text{CuO}_2$ chains in the blocking region of the structure [4]. Prior investigations showed that Ru-1212 material exhibits ferromagnetic ordering of the Ru moments on a microscopic scale below 133 K and becomes superconducting at a lower temperature between $T_C = 16$ K and 46 K depending on the sample preparation [5]. In order to investigate the origin of superconductivity and magnetism phenomena and their relationship in the rutheno-cuprates compounds, previous reports have studied the effect of total or partial cation substitution in $\text{Ru}_x\text{M}_{x-1}\text{Sr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$ system with $M = \text{Ce}$ [6], Ti [7, 8], Rh [8], Nb [7], V [7], Ir [9], Ta [10], Fe [11], Cu [12], and Co [13]. These studies reported that, the magnetic ordering and the superconducting transition temperatures are very sensitive to the synthesis process and depend on the type and concentration of the cation substitution.

In this paper, the partial substitution of Ru by Zr, Mo, and Mn ions was performed considering that: a) the magnetic effect of the doped ions; and b) the difference of the ionic radii and the hetero-valence of the Zr, Mo, and Mn ions. According to previous studies, it was reported that the physical properties of this system are very sensitive even when the concentration of the doping in the cation sites is very small [6-13]. For this reason, we have substituted 10% of ruthenium by other metallic cation in order to obtain monophase samples. The aim of this investigation was to synthesize the monophase of $\text{Ru}_{0.9}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Sr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$ with
M = Zr, Mo, and Mn samples via the solid-state reaction method and study the influence of the metal ions type on the structural and superconducting properties.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1. Synthesis

Polycrystalline samples of the Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ system with M = Zr, Mo, and Mn, were synthesized by the solid-state reaction method at ambient pressure. The starting materials were RuO$_2$ (99.9%, CERAC), ZrO$_2$ (Riedel-de Haën pure), MoO$_3$ (99.5%, ALDRICH), MnO (99.99%, ALDRICH), SrCO$_3$ (99.5%, CERAC), Gd$_2$O$_3$ (99.99%, STREM), and CuO (99.99%, ALDRICH).

Structure and purity of the starting materials were determined by XRD. Prior to weighing, SrCO$_3$ was preheated for 10-20 minutes at 120 °C in order to dehydrate it. The stoichiometric mixture of the starting materials was done in air for 30 minutes, grinded with an agate mortar, resulting in homogenous slurry, pressed, and treated as follows: 1) mixing and grinding in an agate mortar and 2) calcination at temperature ranging from 960°C to 1020 °C for 24 h. These steps were repeated five times. 3) The resulting polycrystals were then pressed into circular pellets (13 mm) and sintered using temperatures between 1050 and 1055 °C for 5 days in a tubular furnaces with flowing oxygen and then slowly cooled down to room temperature.

2.2. Characterization

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ samples, with M = Zr, Mo, and Mn were obtained with an APD 2000 PRO X-Ray diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation. Measurements were done in 20 range of 2° to 70° with a scanning step width of 0.025° and a 15 s scanning time per step. The Rietveld method using the Full Prof program was carried out for the refinement of the crystal structures. The morphology and chemical analysis of the materials were determined using a scanning electron microscope, (SEM) combined with an X-ray energy dispersive spectrometer (SEM/EDS HITACHI S-3400N). Low temperature dc resistance measurement were performed using the standard four-probe method from room temperature down to 8K [14].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of the pure and doped samples with nominal composition Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ (M = Ru, Zr, and Mo). XRD measurements confirm the formation of pure phase for M = Ru and Mn, whereas for samples with M = Zr and Mo, small additional peaks are observed. These additional reflections are attributed to the formation of the SrRuO$_3$ impurity [2]. Moreover, X-ray diffraction patterns of all doped samples revealed the same primitive tetragonal structure of the undoped RuSr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ compound (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ (M = Ru, Zr, Mo, and Mn) system.](image)

Fig. 2 shows the SEM micrographs of the Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ system with M = Ru, Zr, Mo, and Mn. As it can be seen, the samples show similar characteristics in their morphology and are porous with different grain sizes, from 1 up to 5 μm. The semi-fusion always is present, depending on the metal.

EDX analysis was performed to verify the chemical composition of the samples. Different regions of the surface in each sample were ana-
lyzed and an average was taken. The results are present in Table 1. The experimental and expected atomic percentage is similar within 6wt% [15-17].

EDX permits the determination of chemical composition of pure and doped samples [18, 19]. The structure analysis of all samples was performed using the Rietveld refinement. It was taken into account the possibility that M = Zr, Mo, and Mn can also occupy the Ru ion sites. The presence of the SrRuO$_3$ compound as a secondary phase was included with space group (S.G.) P4/mmm (No. 123). Ru, Zr, Mo, and Mn ions occupy the crystallographic 1b sites (0, 0, 0.5); Gd ions, the 1c sites (0.5, 0.5, 0); Sr ions, the Wyckoff position 2 h(0.5, 0.5, z); Cu, the 2g position (0, 0, z); and the oxygen ions, distributed among the 8 s(x, 0, z), the 4 o(x, 0.5, 0.5) and the 4 i(0, 0.5, z) positions. The oxygen sites in the SrO, CuO$_2$, and RuO$_2$ planes are denoted by O(1), O(2) and O(3), respectively.

Tables 2 presents the results of the refinement of the bond angles, bond lengths, and cell parameters of the Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ system with M = Ru, Zr, Mo and Mn. The crystallographic structure of the Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ system is presented in Fig. 2.

### Table 1. Chemical composition analysis results of Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ (M = Ru, Zr, Mo and Mn)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ru</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Gd</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru$<em>{0.9}$M$</em>{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>57.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru$<em>{0.9}$Zr$</em>{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>58.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru$<em>{0.9}$Mo$</em>{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>56.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru$<em>{0.9}$Mn$</em>{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>57.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cell parameters, bond lengths (nm), and bond angles (deg) for Ru$_{0.5}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_x$ system as a function of ionic radius of M = Ru, Zr, Mo, and Mn. It can be observed that the partial substitution of Ru$^{4+}$ by Mn$^{2+}$ and Zr$^{4+}$ is accompanied by an increase of lattice cells (a and c) as well as Ru–O(1) and Cu–O(1) bond lengths. This result refers to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural parameters</th>
<th>Ru$_{0.5}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_x$</th>
<th>M=Mo</th>
<th>M=Ru</th>
<th>M=Mn</th>
<th>M=Zr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a(nm)</td>
<td>0.3840</td>
<td>0.3850</td>
<td>0.3858</td>
<td>0.3860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(nm)</td>
<td>1.1550</td>
<td>1.1589</td>
<td>1.1590</td>
<td>1.1604</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(nm$^3$)</td>
<td>0.1703</td>
<td>0.1718</td>
<td>0.1725</td>
<td>0.1729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-O(3)-Ru</td>
<td>152.56</td>
<td>152.56</td>
<td>152.56</td>
<td>152.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-O(2)-Cu</td>
<td>167.02</td>
<td>166.68</td>
<td>166.60</td>
<td>166.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-O(1)-Ru</td>
<td>169.01</td>
<td>169.70</td>
<td>169.93</td>
<td>169.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-O(1) (nm)</td>
<td>0.1949</td>
<td>0.1968</td>
<td>0.2082</td>
<td>0.2091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-O(3) (nm)</td>
<td>0.9979</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
<td>0.19984</td>
<td>0.19987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-O(1) (nm)</td>
<td>0.19893</td>
<td>0.19968</td>
<td>0.2282</td>
<td>0.23931</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Variation of Cu-O(1) and Ru-O(1) bond lengths vs. the ionic radius of Ru, Zr, Mo, and Mn.
fact that the ionic radii of the Mn$^{2+}$ and Zr$^{4+}$ ions are larger than that of Ru$^{4+}$ (IR$^{VI}$ Ru$^{4+} = 0.62$ Å, IR$^{VI}$ Mn$^{2+} = 0.67$ Å and IR$^{VI}$ Zr$^{4+} = 0.72$ Å) [21]. The decrease in lattice parameters with the substitution of Ru$^{4+}$ ions by the Mo$^{6+}$ ions is attributed to the smaller ionic radii of Mo in comparison to the Ru ions in the hexagonal coordination state (IR$^{VI}$ Mo$^{6+} = 0.59$ Å) [21].

On the other hand, the refinement indicates that Ru–O(1)–Cu bond angle for M = Ru, Zr, Mo and Mn samples show an insignificant change compared with the pristine RuSr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ compound. This parameter presents the deviation of the apical oxygen O(1) along the plane perpendicular to the c-axis. The Ru–O(3)–Ru bond angle, which is a measure of the rotation of the RuO$_6$ octahedra around the c-axis, remains extraordinarily constant. The Cu–O(2)–Cu bond angle, which is a measure of the buckling of the CuO$_2$ layer, shows a slight dependence with the ionic radii of the Zr, Mo, and Mn ions.

Fig. 4 shows the normalized resistance as a function of temperature for pristine RuSr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ compound and the M = Zr, Mo, and Mn modified samples. It can be observed that for M = Ru and Zr, the decreasing of temperature is accompanied by a slight increasing of the resistance until a maximum value, whereas the onset of superconductivity transition is observed at around 30 K; zero resistance is achieved at around 11 K. Furthermore, as can be seen in Fig. 4 for M = Ru, the superconducting transition width is comparable to that observed in RuSr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ [22,23]. Part of the superconducting transition width may be attributed to oxygen disorder in Ru$_{0.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ and structural disorder in RuSr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_8$ [24]. For M = Mo and Mn, the normalized resistance curves decrease with increasing of temperature until a relative minimum is observed around 82 K, then increase just before the onset of transition of temperature around 33 K without any signal of a superconducting transition. The substitution of 10 % of the Ru ions by Mn and Mo ions suppressed the superconductivity. The absence

![Fig. 4](image)
of superconductivity in these samples can be explained by the Mn and Mo doping, combined with a pair-breaking effect [26,27] caused by weak coupling between the carriers in CuO$_2$ and RuO$_2$ layers. This suggestion may be due to oxygen depletion by the possible partial substitution of Mn and Mo atoms in CuO$_2$ and RuO$_2$ layered. Rietveld-refinement results showed in Table 2 and Fig. 3 confirm this possibility.

4. CONCLUSION

We successfully substituted 10% of the Ru ions by Zr, Mo, and Mn ions in the Ru$_{0.9}$M$_{0.1}$Sr$_2$GdCu$_2$O$_y$ system. XRD diffractograms showed that all samples present a similar pattern. It was found that cell parameters (a and c) as well as the Cu-O(1) and Ru-O(1) bond lengths increase by increasing the ionic radii of Mo, Mn, and Zr ions doped. The absence of superconductivity in these samples were attributed to the Mn and Mo substitution, combined with a pair-breaking effect caused by weak coupling between the carriers in the CuO$_2$ layers and the RuO$_2$ layers.
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